South Bay Cities Council of Governments

SBCCOG Transportation Committee Meeting
Monday, June 8, 2020 @10:30 a. m.
Conducted via Zoom

PUBLIC COMMENTS:
To address the SBCCOG Transportation Committee on any item or a matter within the Transportation Committee’s purview, please provide written comments by 5 p. m. June 5, 2020 via email to davidl@southbaycities.org. All written comments submitted will become part of the official record. Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only provide written comment on SBCCOG related business that is within the jurisdiction of the SBCCOG and/or items listed on the Agenda which will addressed during the Public Comment portion of the meeting.

ACCESSING THE MEETING:
Register in advance for the Transportation Committee meeting using the below link: https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJwudOGhrzkfE91df0k_5nO3RTn2ctqyq4dg

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the meeting.

AGENDA

10:30 a.m.  I. Welcome / Self-Introductions
10:32 a. m.  II. Public Comment
10:34 a.m.  III. Consent Calendar
   a. March 9, 2020 Transportation Committee Minutes (Attachment A) - Approve
   b. June 2020 Transportation Update (Attachment B) – Receive and File
10:35 a. m.  IV. Transportation Working Group Updates
   a. Infrastructure Working Group
   b. Transit Operators Working Group
   c. Metro Service Council
10:40 a.m.  V. Quarterly Update of the Annual Performance Evaluation (To be distributed at meeting)
10:45 a. m.  VI. Metro Covid-19 Mobility and Affordability Recovery Action Plan (Attachment C) – Receive and File
11:00 a.m.  VII. FY 2021 SBCCOG Metro Budget Request Update – Receive and File
11:25 a.m.  VIII. Metro Measure R Transfer Policy Update – Receive and File
11:40 a.m.  IX. Three Month Look Ahead (Attachment D) – Receive and File
11:45 a.m.  X. Announcements / Adjournment

Next Transportation Committee meeting –Monday, July 13, 2020, 10:30 a. m.
To include an item in the agenda, e-mail to: lantzsh10@gmail.com by July 2, 2020.
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South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Transportation Committee
March 9, 2020
Meeting Minutes

COMMITTEE CHAIR HORVATH CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 10:36 A.M.

I. Welcome / Self-Introductions

In attendance were the following voting SBCCOG Board Members:
Christian Horvath, Chair (Redondo Beach) James Butts (Inglewood)
Chris Pimentel (El Segundo) Geoff Rizzo (Torrance)
Olivia Valentine (Hawthorne) Jennifer LaMarque (SD-4)

Non-Voting Representatives
Ted Semaan, IWG (Redondo Beach) Don Szerlip, Metro South Bay Service Council

Also in attendance were the following persons:
Ken Berkman (El Segundo) Mark Dierking (Metro)
Cheryl Ebert (El Segundo) Paul Backstrom (Metro)
Alan Leung (Hawthorne) Robert Calix (Metro)
Akbar Farokhi (Hawthorne) Mike Bohlke (Metro Deputy to James Butts)
Louis Atwell (Inglewood) Celeste Milam (Arellano Associates/Metro)
Tunisia Johnson (Inglewood) Lisa Tifiletti (Tifiletti Consulting/Inglewood)
Jill Crump (Torrance) Omar Pulido (Tifiletti Consulting/Inglewood)
Nasser Razepoor (Rancho Palos Verdes) Tami Podesta (HNTB)
Leslie Scott (Beach Cities Transit) Julie Ann Anopol (Willdan)
Dana Pynn (GTrans) Jacki Bacharach (SBCCOG)
Jimmy Shih (Caltrans) Steve Lantz (SBCCOG)
Daniel Kopulsky (Caltrans) David Leger (SBCCOG)
Mark Yamarone (Metro) Natalie Champion (SBCCOG)

II. Consent Calendar

A. February 10, 2020 Transportation Committee Minutes - APPROVED
B. March 2020 Transportation Update – RECEIVED AND FILED

MOTION by Committee Member Butts, seconded by Committee Member Valentine, to APPROVE the consent calendar. No objection. So ordered.

III. SBCCOG Transportation Working Group Updates

A. Infrastructure Working Group Update
Mr. Semaan reported that the IWG is scheduled to meet later this week so there is nothing new to report since the last meeting. Mr. Semaan noted that the sub-committee met and finalized recommendations for the new match policy for Measure R SBHP/Measure M MSPs.

B. Transit Operators Working Group Update
Ms. Pynn reported that the TOWG met March 5th and had a good discussion on the Metro Budget Request. Ms. Bacharach asked how the NextGen Plan is impacting local transit operators. Ms. Pynn noted that they are working closely with Metro and that operators are considering assuming some of the service Metro will be cutting back.

C. Metro Service Council – No update given.

IV. Monthly Project Status Update
After the elimination of the required monthly reporting by Metro, SBCCOG staff has reached out to city staff to receive brief project updates. The previous APE reports will be distributed quarterly now. The monthly status report is available online: http://southbaycities.org/sites/default/files/transportation_committee/HANDOUT_SBHP%20Project%20Updates%20February%202020%20281%29.pdf

V. Recommended Applications and Funding Allocations for FY 20-25 Measure M MSPs Metro Budget Request

Mr. Lantz reported that at this time each year, the SBCCOG Board is asked to recommend an annual Metro Budget Request to Metro for new funding beginning July 1st. This year’s action is slightly different as it also includes recommendations for funding for transit capital projects assuming approval of the Decennial Measure R Transfer Policy by the Metro Board. A subcommittee of Infrastructure Working Group and Transit Operators Working Group staff was formed to review, rank, and recommend projects. The subcommittee also recommended a new uniform matching policy that would apply to both Measure R SBHP and Measure M MSP project requests.

Committee Member Butts stated his support for the subcommittee’s recommendation and support for restricting new Caltrans project funding to PAED/PSE phases only. Mr. Kopulsky asked where right-of-way and construction funding was intended to come from. Committee Member Butts indicated that the State should consider funding those phases. Mr. Lantz reminded the Committee that when Measure R SBHP was first created, it funded early phases (such as environmental and design phases) of Caltrans projects to strategically position them for outside funding for right-of-way and construction. The subcommittee proposal does the same. It does not preclude Caltrans from seeking SBHP/MSP funding for those later phases but does not guarantee any funding support past PSE. Ms. Bacharach added that the SBCCOG will work alongside Caltrans to secure those additional funds and help lobby Sacramento legislators. Chair Horvath also explained that the subregion wants to work with Caltrans jointly, but cannot and should not be doing it alone.

Mr. Lantz also explained that the Measure R SBHP budget request includes a list of transit capital projects that are recommended for funding pursuant to the Metro Board approval of the Transfer Policy. The Board is expected to vote on the Transfer Policy in May.

Committee Member Butts thanked the SBCCOG Board for their leadership in advocating for the Transfer Policy and the work of Ms. Bacharach, Mr. Lantz, and SBCCOG staff for conducting a fair and straightforward call for projects.

MOTION by Committee Member Butts, seconded by Committee Member Pimentel, to APPROVE the Measure M MSP Metro Budget Request. No objection. So ordered.

VI. Recommended Applications and Funding Allocations for FY 20-25 Measure R SBHP Metro Budget Request

Discussion on this item took place in conjunction with the Measure M MSPs Metro Budget Request item.

MOTION by Committee Member Butts, seconded by Committee Member Valentine, to APPROVE the Measure R SBHP Metro Budget Request. No objection. So ordered.

VII. Metro NextGen Study Impacts

Mr. Lantz explained that Metro had just completed its work on proposed route changes as part of their NextGen line restructuring plan. Mr. Lantz identified proposed impacts to Metro service in the South Bay and reviewed those changes with the Committee. Significant changes include the elimination of some lines and the shortening of others. Some lines would also experience improved frequency under the new plan. Metro has been working in coordination with local municipal operators as part of the plan.

VIII. Metro Bus Rapid Transit Vision and Principles – RECEIVED AND FILED

Mr. Backstrom explained that Metro's Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Vision & Principles Study is a comprehensive study that will establish the standard of a future BRT network. It will develop the overall vision, goals, and objectives and define local BRT operational standards/design guidelines. It also aims to identify and prioritize ideal candidate corridors and create a network of future potential projects countywide. A technical advisory committee comprised of Metro staff, other transit providers, and local cities was formed at the beginning of the project and regularly meets to provide input. The team has identified 84 corridors for further study, which will be narrowed down to 30, then a final 15 that will be presented to the Metro Board. There is no funding identified for
implementation of any of the corridors at this time. Mr. Backstrom’s presentation is available online here: https://arellano.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapJournal/index.html?appid=86c72807b2f948269efe34c180072c3b

IX. Three Month Look-Ahead
Committee Member LaMarque announced that the Board of Supervisors will be honoring Torrance Transit for their 80th anniversary and for being named Transit Agency of the Year by the California Transit Association.

Committee Chair Horvath reminded everyone that the General Assembly is taking place on March 19th.

X. Announcements / Adjournment
Committee Chair Horvath adjourned the meeting at 11:28 a.m. to April 13, 2020.
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TO: SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
Transit Operators Working Group  
Transit Operators Task Force  
SBCCOG Board of Directors  

FROM: Steve Lantz, SBCCOG Transportation Director  

RE: SBCCOG Transportation Update Covering May 2020  

**Adherence to Strategic Plan:**  
*Goal A: Environment, Transportation and Economic Development.* Facilitate, implement and/or educate members and others about environmental, transportation and economic development programs that benefit the South Bay.  

**Federal**  

**USDOT Allocates $374 Million in New Starts Funding for Metro Rail Transit Projects**  
The Federal Transit Administration announced on May 27th an allocation of $374 million for Metro New Starts rail projects – broken down in the following manner: $100 million for the Westside Purple Line Extension (Section 1), $100 million for the Westside Purple Line Extension (Section 2), $100 million for the Westside Purple Line Extension (Section 3), and $74 million for the Regional Connector Transit Project.  

**CDC Finally Issues Guidelines For Reopening Transit Service**  
The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) shared a 60-page set of guidelines over the Memorial Day weekend for how states can safely reopen to limit further spread of Covid-19. The guidelines recommend practices that were adopted by L. A, County operators two months ago, including: ask passengers to enter and exit through different doors; close every other row of seats and reduce occupancy or add service to ensure passengers are at least six feet apart at all times; install physical barriers like sneeze guards and partitions; use physical guides like floor decals to show passengers where they should and should not stand or sit; require face masks and personal protective equipment to be worn by passengers and transit operators; and, intensify cleaning, disinfection and ventilation. Interestingly, the CDC recommendations do not include elimination of fare collection during the pandemic.  

**State**  

**Uber, Lyft And DoorDash Initiative Seeking Labor Law Exemption Heads To Voters**  
A ballot measure championed by Uber, Lyft and DoorDash to exempt their drivers from a new California law that requires companies to give benefits to more workers has qualified for the November election. The initiative promises to raise compensation for ride-hailing and delivery drivers by requiring companies to pay them above minimum wage, plus 30 cents per mile, according to the coalition. It would also mandate health care coverage for drivers who work at least 15 hours per week and would provide insurance for on-the-job injuries.
The initiative is a response to Assembly Bill 5 signed by Gov. Gavin Newsom in September that limits in most cases an employers’ ability to use independent contractors. Instead, the law requires these workers be treated as employees with benefits.

**Stay-Home Order Could Cost California $370 Million In State Gas Tax For Road Fixes**
If California’s stay at home order lasts eight weeks, the state could lose $370 million in funds that help pay for highway construction and maintenance as well as aid for transit, a new study from UC Davis’ Road Ecology Center reported on May 1st.

Vehicle miles driven have plunged more than 75 percent in the state since the coronavirus outbreak shut down much of California in mid-March and revenues dropped from $61 million in early March to $15 million in the second week of April. The state had initially projected $7.5 billion in fuel tax revenues in FY 2020-21.

**Governor Releases May Budget Revisions – Funding Gap Widens**
Projected sales tax revenues are down by 27%, transportation revenues have declined by $1.8 billion through 2024, and $1.2 billion of the loss occurs in FYs 19-21. Yet the Governor’s May revision has Caltrans accelerating projects to achieve cost savings, add jobs and improve roads. Planning and engineering projects also remain unchanged to be prepared for any future stimulus funding for capital projects.

State Senate leaders, never at a loss for a creative spending plan, have proposed filling the funding gap with a $25 billion economic recovery fund that would come from voluntary, early state tax payments with tax credits in years 2024 to 2033.

**CARB Proposes To Speed California’s Transition To Zero-Emission Vehicles**
The California Air Resources Board on May 5th issued an accelerated plan to mandate that truck manufacturers transition a portion of their sales from heavy diesel trucks to electric zero-emission vehicles beginning in 2024.

The draft plan would require that 5% of all Class 7 and Class 8 heavy trucks sold in 2024 be electric — an increase from 3% initially proposed in December. The updated requirement for Class 4 through Class 8 truck sales would begin at 9% in 2024.

The new proposal also calls for the percentage of heavy trucks sold in the state to gradually increase each year with a goal that 100% of the trucks be zero-emission electric vehicles by 2045. The updated proposal envisions an all zero-emission drayage fleet in California ports and railyards by 2035, and last-mile delivery fleet by 2040.

To allow 30 days for public comment, CARB will hold a public hearing on the proposal at its June 25-26 meeting.

**CPUC Ready Rules For Automated Vehicle Taxis And Ride-Hailing**
California’s first-ever Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Passenger Service Pilot Program (AV Pilot) is underway as the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is wrestling with regulations for the second phase of the state’s driverless taxi or ride-hailing services pilot programs, including whether driverless AVs in pilot services should be allowed to collect fares for trips, whether they should be allowed to accept pooled or shared rides, and what types of data they should report.

The first of the two state pilot programs allows DMV-approved companies to offer rides in AVs that have a driver present in the car – so-called “drivered AVs.” The second pilot program allows AVs without a driver to pick up passengers – “driverless AVs.” Under the rules of the pilot,
driverless AVs must have a remote operator in contact with the vehicle and its passengers while a ride is happening.

Both CPUC’s AV pilot programs require AV ride providers to obtain explicit passenger consent, and they prohibit the companies from collecting any money or other compensation for rides, regardless of whether a driver is present or not. Both pilot programs also require companies to submit trip data to the CPUC on a quarterly basis. Providers of driverless AV rides are also barred from offering pooled rides.

In developing the final rules, the CPUC has solicited feedback from key stakeholders on a variety of issues, including how to ensure passenger safety, how to incorporate equity and environmental justice into the AV regulatory framework, what data sharing should be required, and how to regulate emissions from AV ride-hail trips.

San Francisco and Los Angeles, both of which caution against allowing compensation without further consideration of passenger and road safety, want to slow the development of automated ride sharing and taxis in order to avoid a repeat of the rapid adoption of ride-hailing or e-scooters, which forced many cities to respond reactively, with little time to plan or adapt to major changes on their public streets.

Region

LA Metro Replaces Go Metro Trip-Planning App With No-Cost Private “Transit” App
LA Metro is replacing its Go Metro app with a new trip planning app from Transit. The new app will offer LA Metro riders real-time bus and train schedule information; step-by-step navigation and trip planning; personalized service alerts; and accessibility tools.

Transit was selected through a competitive request for proposals (RFP) process that started in fall 2019. The Transit proposed a partnering agreement with Metro to provide the Transit app through a no-cost marketing agreement that is expected to save LA Metro $240,000 annually in app maintenance and development costs.

The Transit app will integrate information from multiple transportation options in the Los Angeles region — including bike-share services, scooter services and ride-hail services. The app also has the capability to offer features like mobile ticketing.

Metro Monthly Pass Cost Lowered 50%; Other Fare Cuts Under Study
Under the COVID-19 crisis, Metro transit is effectively operating fare-free with Metro only collecting 5% of its customary fare revenue. At its May 28th meeting, the Board approved a six-month promotional fare that will begin once front-door boarding is resumed and fare payment is enforced on Metro bus and rail lines. The promotional fare will reduce the cost monthly pass cost from $100 to $50.

In addition to reducing the monthly pass fare, the Board directed staff to report back in June on reducing Metro’s other fare types and capping the fares collected from TAP card users each month at the promotional monthly fare rate. With fare capping, a TAP card user who takes a lot of trips during a certain time period but cannot afford a monthly pass up front would not need to pay more in a month than they would have paid for a monthly pass. The board also directed Metro staff to prepare a marketing plan to inform frequent riders of the fare changes, with a
focus on helping cash-paying riders take advantage of the promotional fares by transitioning to TAP-card payments.

**Metro To Restore Pandemic-Reduced Bus And Rail Service In Four Phases**

L.A. Metro will implement a four-phase plan for restoring bus and rail service as the stay at home orders are lifted. Under the recovery plan, L.A. Metro will be ramping up bus and rail service between now and early 2021 to match service levels with expected ridership and the need to maintain physical distancing on buses and trains as well as more cleaning.

Phase 1 is projected to take place in June with some modest gains in service on the busiest corridors to accommodate returning non-essential trips. Phase 2 is projected to take place as early as July and August with additional service to provide transit service for students returning to school and additional people returning to work. Phase 3 may be implemented between September and November and includes modest gains in service in areas where and when the authority sees demand growing. Phase 4 is projected to take place between December and January and is expected to begin implementing changes and enhancements proposed under ongoing bus restructuring effort—called NextGen. The emphasis of the NextGen Plan is to provide more frequent service on L.A. Metro’s busier routes that would result in faster door-to-door trips for most riders.

**Metro Releases I-105 ExpressLanes Draft EIR**

The I-105 corridor experiences heavy demand during peak commute hours that exceeds its capacity. As a result, Metro and Caltrans are studying the possible implementation of ExpressLanes on the 105 freeway between the 405 and Studebaker Road, which is just east of the 605. The project will also study the 105 west of the 405 to Sepulveda Boulevard to identify potential signage locations and access points into the ExpressLanes. This corridor traverses the cities of El Segundo, Inglewood, Hawthorne, Los Angeles, Lynwood, South Gate, Paramount, Downey, Norwalk and unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County.


Due to current COVID-19 restrictions, in-person public hearings will not be held in order to maintain social distancing requirements. However, a virtual open house is available (105virtualforum.com) that contains maps, narrated presentations, and other supporting material the public can review. In addition, a live presentation with Q&A will be held on Thursday, June 11, 2020, 6pm and the public can participate via the web or phone. For details, please visit the I-105 ExpressLanes Project website at (metro.net/105ExpressLanes) or 105virtualforum.com).

The I-105 ExpressLanes are included in the Measure M Expenditure Plan which provides $175 million for this project.

**Trends**

**COVID-19 Recovery and Restoration Lifestyle and Workstyle Changes: A Tipping Point?**

The stay-at-home orders have clearly shown the environmental and economic impacts (and sustainability benefits) of immobility. COVID-19 recovery will be gradual, and we will emerge
from it into a different world. A wide range of strategies was suggested during April as planners begin to focus on the post COVID-19 “new normal”.

In April, IBM polled 25,000 adults across America to understand how COVID-19 has affected their perspectives on a number of issues including retail spending, transportation, future attendance in large venues and returning to work. The findings show that 54% of people would like to continue primarily working from home after the pandemic, while 75% said they would like to work remotely at least occasionally.

Other key results show that more than 20% of respondents who regularly used buses, subways or trains no longer will, and another 28% said they would use public transportation less often. More than half of people surveyed said they would use ridesharing apps less or stop using them completely. That leaves personal vehicles or bikes, which have seen a 30-50% increase in sales over the last couple months.

IBM’s survey also found that people aren’t eager to attend large events once the crisis dwindles. Just over 33% of respondents said they would visit bars and restaurants, 25% said they will be ready to visit the beach, and 20% said they will be OK with going to a shopping center.

Working from home has expanded dramatically over night and it may become permanent at least for part of the work week and a significant share of workforce. American workers doing their jobs from home has slowly but steadily increased in the last two decades, from 3.3 percent in 2000 to 5.2 percent in 2017. Surveys suggest anywhere from 29 percent to 43 percent of American workers could potentially do their jobs at home.

A recent PWC study showed that about half of businesses expect a dip in productivity during the pandemic due to a lack of remote work capabilities and clear policies regarding secure access to business records and proprietary information.

Online shopping may also be at a tipping point. Instacart orders jumped 150% in the last month and Amazon reported grocery delivery orders up 50 times higher than normal. That translates into nearly a half million new jobs that may become permanent from the two companies alone. And the on-line models save trips and reduce congestion by being linked and routed for efficiency.

**In a Post-Pandemic World, Curbside Pickup May Increase Need For Loading Zones**

Between late February and late March, buy-online-pickup-in-store orders, which includes curbside, increased 87% year over year, according to Adobe Analytics. Experts predict that this is not just a short-term approach to connect with customers during a global pandemic. Retailers from every industry segment are turning into no-contact stores. Grocery stores saw a significant surge in pickups and delivery in the U.S. during a 30-day period in February and March. Nearly one-third of U.S. customers used a delivery or pickup service, compared to 13% in August 2019, jumping 145.3% during that period.

Retailers are beginning to offer their own mobile apps to improve brand loyalty, convenience, speed and to make ordering and payments easy. The change in retail may offer a long-term opportunity to re-purpose off street parking and local jurisdictions may need to re-consider the mix of curbside parking and loading zones on streets in retail districts.
Can Self-Driving Scooters End Sidewalk Clutter and Improve Profitability?
One of the most challenging aspects of operating a dockless scooter service is keeping them charged, clean, and out of the middle of sidewalk. Go X, an electric scooter company, has licensed technology from automated positioning technology company Tortoise to enable its scooters to be operated remotely and autonomously. It retrofitted its scooters with training wheels of sorts and an automated self-righting kickstand that enables them to operate autonomously.

The self-driving scooters are now part of a six-month pilot program at Curiosity Labs in Peachtree City, Georgia. The pilot will test a new mobility service that ends the frustrating hunt for a fully-charged scooter by autonomously delivering a scooter to the customer. At that point, navigating is up to the rider to get to their destination. After the ride is completed and the scooter parked, it returns on its own to the depot for complete sanitization to ensure the scooter is clean and fully charged for the next customer.

Powering the self-driving and repositioning system is Tortoise. Based in San Francisco, the startup licenses its artificial intelligence technology to companies such as Go X, and uses a team of remote teleoperators that are based in Mexico City to monitor the scooters as they traverse the pilot area.

The new technology may improve the scooter business model. By not having to drive around to find and recharge its fleet of 100 scooters, the company estimates that it saves approximately 75% of traditional operational costs. Recharging the scooter after each use also increases its available up-time and reduces the number of scooters needed.

Drone Deliveries May Be Feasible Within Five Years
Gartner predicts that in 2026, more than one million drones will be carrying out retail deliveries, up from 20,000 today. Gartner does not expect routine, large-scale autonomous drone flights to be feasible before 2025 due to the need for the FAA to create a nationwide unmanned air traffic management system.

Autonomous drones offer lower cost per mile and higher speed than vans in last-mile deliveries. When they deliver parcels, their operational costs are at least 70% lower than a van delivery service. However, technological investments are needed to improve location tracking by adopting full digital tracking and location systems for packages.

Last year, DHL launched a fully automated and intelligent smart drone delivery solution to tackle the last-mile delivery challenges in urban areas of China. DHL states that the service reduces delivery time from 40 to eight minutes for an eight-kilometer distance and can save costs of up to 80% per delivery, with reduced energy consumption and carbon footprint compared with road transportation.
TO: SBCCOG Transit Operators Working Group – June 4, 2020 Meeting  
SBCCOG Transportation Committee – June 8, 2020 Meeting  
SBCCOG Infrastructure Working Group – June 10, 2020 Meeting

FROM: Jacki Bacharach, Executive Director  
Steve Lantz, Transportation Director

SUBJECT: L. A, Metro Covid-19 Mobility And Affordability Plan

**Adherence to Strategic Plan:**

*Goal A: Environment, Transportation, and Economic Development.* Facilitate, implement, and/or educate members and others about environmental, transportation, and economic development programs that benefit the South Bay. Strategy 5 – Actively pursue opportunities for infrastructure funding for member agencies.

**Background**

L. A. Metro is experiencing significant ridership and revenue impacts as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. Ridership has declined by as much as 70% on the Metro bus network and by as much as 80% on the Metro rail network causing a loss of 95% in fare revenue. In addition, sales tax revenues have dropped by more than 30% as economic activity declined. Metro is projecting a loss of more than $1 billion in sales tax revenues and $450 million in fares, tolls, and other revenues over the next two years. In addition, the California Governor’s sales tax deferral for small business will cause a deferral of more than $200 million in Metro’s cashflow.

The federal CARES Act will provide $1.068 bil. in LA County. The grant is 100% federal reimbursable for eligible expenses beginning January 2020. However, Metro expects the FTA allocation and invoicing process will likely prevent reimbursements from being received prior to September or October.

Metro daily ridership has risen from below 300,000 in mid-March to more than 400,000 by the end of May.

**Metro COVID 19 response**

Metro needs to reduce expenditures by $100 million per month through September when staff will return to the board with a revised FY 21-22 budget. Metro is implementing a Call for Action: Metro’s Mobility and Affordability Plan in response to the revenue loss with the following action elements:

- Metro is tracking ridership daily and adjusting bus assignments weekly in response to returning demand. A new systemwide service baseline will be established with June 21 schedule change.
- The board approved a continuing resolution that will continue FY 19-20 budget levels through September 2020.
• Consultants have been asked to reduce fee 2%, freeze pay raises, reduce staffing levels until financial outlook improves.

• The Metro CEO proposed dividing cost elements into two buckets.
  o Bucket 1 allows work to continue in support of bus and rail operations, Covid-related cleaning and rider/staff safety initiatives, federal / state regulatory compliance, debt service, and capital projects with construction contracts.
  o Bucket 2 projects would be deferred through September 2020 which would save Metro $82.5 million in cashflow. The Metro CEO is recommending that Bucket 2 project schedules be reverted to their original schedules in the Measure M expenditure plan. These include partially funded projects, planning projects, highway projects, regional rail projects, accelerated projects, non-Measure M projects, and state of good repair projects. Centinela Grade Separation construction, I-105 ExpressLanes, South Bay Highway Operational Improvements are in bucket 2.

• Board passed motion to reduce monthly passes by 50% for 6 months under the Promotional fare policy. Report back in 30 days on a plan to reduce daily fares comparably. Staff is working on a comprehensive fare restructuring proposal.

• The Board approved continued development work on four rail projects including the Green Line South Bay extension to Torrance in order to ensure that Metro has shovel-ready projects if new funding sources become available. Funding for completion of the design phase of the Centinela Grade Separation Project was also approved. Construction funding needs to be secured within the next year to avoid deferral of construction.

• Metro’s Open Streets Events are being re-positioned from one-day street festivals. New rules allow existing grantees to use the funding in their Metro agreement to implement permanent changes in street design to enable social distancing by expanding sidewalks for al fresco dining and providing a safer travel environment for walking and bicycling.

• MSP funds have yet to be assigned to Bucket 1 or 2. Metro staff will return in June with a recommendation.

Metro is also expected to approve the SBCCOG FY 2020-24 Metro Budget Request in June 2020. The request includes a combination of highway and transit projects. Although the highway projects should proceed immediately following Metro Board approval of the request in June, the transit projects are subject to Metro amending the Measure R Ordinance to permit use of Measure R Highway Sub-funds for transit projects. Transit eligibility for Measure R subregional funds countywide will be addressed in a Measure R Transfer Policy to be considered by the Metro Board in June. However, the Measure R Ordinance requires the state legislature to have one year’s notice of any pending amendment. Consequently, transit project funding agreements will need to wait until FY 2021-22 to be implemented.

With the 30% reduction in available sales tax revenues, it may be necessary for the SBCCOG to review the schedule for the projects funded using Measure R SBHP or Measure M MSP subregional funds. The SBCCOG staff will meet with project applicants to confirm their project schedules, scopes and budgets and will incorporate any adjustments in the FY 2021-22 Metro Budget Request.

**Recommendation**

The Committees may receive and file this report or recommend actions for SBCCOG Board consideration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>June 2020</th>
<th>July 2020</th>
<th>August 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4. Transit Operators Working Group | • Measure R/M Metro Budget Request (MBR) Update  
• Metro Covid-19 Recovery  
• Metro Measure R Transfer Policy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 8. IWG Meeting  
• SBHP Monthly Project Update  
• Spotlight: TBD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 6. Transit Operators Working Group  
7. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
9. Transit Operators Working Group  
11. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
14. Metro South Bay Service Council  
15. SBCCOG Board Meeting  
17. Metro Board meeting  
18. SBCCOG Board Meeting  
20. SBCCOG Board Meeting |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 8. SBCCOG Transportation Committee | • SBHP APE Quarterly Status Report  
• Measure R/M MBR Update  
• Metro Covid-19 Recovery  
• Metro Measure R Transfer Policy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 10. Metro South Bay Service Council  
12. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
13. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
16. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
19. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
21. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
22. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
24. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
26. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
28. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
30. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
32. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
34. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
36. SBCCOG Transportation Committee |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 8. SBCCOG Steering Committee | 10. IWG Meeting  
• SBHP Projects Monthly Status Report  
• Metro MBR Update  
• Metro Covid-19 Recovery  
• Metro Measure R Transfer Policy  
• Spotlight: Illegal Dumping Collaboration                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 11. SBCCOG Steering Committee  
12. IWG Meeting  
• SBHP Monthly Projects Status Report  
• Spotlight: TBD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 11. SBCCOG Steering Committee  
12. IWG Meeting  
• SBHP Monthly Projects Status Report  
• Spotlight: TBD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 10. IWG Meeting | 13. SBCCOG Transportation Committee  
• SBHP Project Quarterly APE Report  
• Status of MSP project funding  
xx. Metro Board is dark in July  
23. SBCCOG Board Meeting | 13. SBCCOG Steering Committee  
xx. Metro Board is dark in July  
23. SBCCOG Board Meeting | 14. Metro South Bay Service Council  
27. Metro Board meeting  
27. SBCCOG Board Meeting |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 12. Metro South Bay Service Council | 25. Metro Board of Directors  
• Metro MBR  
• Metro Measure R Transfer Policy  
• Metro Covid-19 Recovery Update                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 25. SBCCOG Board Meeting | 27. SBCCOG Board Meeting |