South Bay Cities Council of Governments

South Bay Transit Operators Working Group

AGENDA

Tuesday, September 17, 2019
3:00 p. m. - 4:00 p. m.

SBCCOG Office
20285 Western Avenue, Suite 100
Torrance, Ca. 90501

3:00 p. m.  Self-Introductions and Approval of the July 17, 2019 Meeting Notes (Attachment A)

3:05 p. m.  Transit Operator Issues and Concerns

3:15 p. m.  Opportunities for Sub-Regional Funding of Transit Capital Projects - discussion of options and prioritization process

3:35 p. m.  Metro Budget Request Transit Capital Project Description Template (Attachment B)

3:45 p. m.  Inglewood Special Event Service Coordination Update

3:55 p. m.  Announcements

4:00 p. m.  Adjournment

Next Transit Operators Working Group meeting date –?
South Bay Cities Council of Governments  
Transit Operators’ Working Group  
July 17th, 2019  
Meeting Notes

Attendees: Joyce Rooney & Vinita Waskow (Beach Cities Transit); Kim Turner & James Lee (Torrance Transit); Ernie Crespo & Dana Pynn (GTrans); Martin Gombert (PV Transit); Steve Lantz & David Leger (SBCCOG)

1) Self-Introductions & Acceptance of the May 16, 2019 Meeting Notes
The meeting was called to order at 4:03pm. Meeting notes were accepted as presented.

2) Transit Operator Issues and Concerns
The first round of Metro service changes as part of the NextGen study are expected sometime between October 2019 and January 2020. The second round will take place in June-July 2020 and the third round in 2021. There was discussion as to whether or not the municipal operators will take over any of the lines that may be abandoned by Metro. Further study will be needed by the operators due to the requirement that they fund the service costs entirely for the first two years before any formula funding begins.

3) Recap of Transit Operators Working Group Presentation to SBCCOG Board Members
The Transit Operators Working Group presented an overview of municipal transit operations in the South Bay to the SBCCOG’s Transportation Committee in June. It was clear to the transit operators that there is little knowledge about the services available in the South Bay currently.

4) Measure M Updates
   a. Measure M South Bay Multi-Year Sub-Regional Programs Task Force Elimination
      Mr. Lantz announced that the MSP Task Force has been disbanded now that the initial 5-year list of projects has been developed. Future issues will be handled through the SBCCOG’s existing working groups and committees.

   b. SBCCOG Measure M MSP Project Recommendations / Measure R Fund Transfer – Status
      Mr. Lantz reported that the SBCCOG’s Measure M project lists will be approved by the Metro Board at their September Board meeting. He then explained that he recently met with Metro Highway Department staff who informed him that the South Bay’s MSPs are programmed as Highway funding, not Transit funding, so all Transit project submissions are ineligible for funding. Mr. Lantz handed out a chart that was included in the Measure M ordinance that indicates which sub-fund each MSP is programmed in. Because this piece was part of the Measure M ordinance, the only way to change it is by going back to the voters.

      Mr. Lantz explained that SBCCOG staff is looking into ways to use a provision of Measure R sub-regional funding that allows a transfer between the Highway Sub-Fund and the Transit Sub-Fund once a decade. He also noted that the Measure M Sub-Regional Equity funds are eligible for highway or transit capital projects, particularly those that were submitted as part of the initial MSP 5-year plan of projects or the 2015 Measure M Mobility Matrix.

      Ms. Turner added that she had recently been in communication with Metro staff and that it appears there is no way to un-do the MSP highway designation. Mr. Lantz noted Measure M includes a once-a-decade sub-fund transfer program that is triggered after 2027. Both of the Measure R and M transfers would require a 2/3 affirmative vote by the SBCCOG Board and Metro Board.
Mr. Lantz requested that the Transit Operators begin compiling projects that they would like to fund through the potential transfer. Mr. Lantz reminded the working group that the funding could only go towards capital projects and not operations.

5) **Inglewood Special Event Service Coordination Update**
GTrans has continued meeting with Inglewood and is looking to provide a premium seasonal service at the Southwest college parking site, which is one of nine remote parking lots being used for game day parking.

6) **Announcements/Adjournment – Next Transit Operators Working Group meeting**
The meeting was adjourned at 5:02 p.m. to September 17th, 2019.
South Bay SBHP & MSP Candidate Transit Project Description Template

Lead Agency:
Staff Contact for Project Technical Questions
- Name:
- Email:
- Phone:
- Mailing Address:

PROJECT TITLE:

PROJECT LIMITS:
- The project is located at ____ in the City of ____, in the ______ area

[Map of project location required on final page. If project improvements are being proposed at multiple locations, street view and improvement location images should be provided.]

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PHASE(S) COMPLETED:

- Fill in the phases of the project below that have been completed. This information will help determine how far along the project is. If no work has been completed, please note below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>END DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&amp;E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / ACQUISITION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT DEFINITION / PROJECT PURPOSE:

The purpose of this project is to ____.
[example: improve recurring congestion and operations in the morning traffic period/eliminate, etc.]

PROJECT BACKGROUND:

[Include a transportation mobility improvement that will result from the transportation project. i.e. construction of a right-hand turn pocket at the intersection will reduce queueing on the through traffic lanes. This project will enable more throughputs through the intersection and reduce idling times. The project should solve a mobility problem, the improvements should be documentable and validated upon completion of the proposed improvement]

[Funding is for capital projects only. If a vehicle acquisition project is proposed, please show that your agency also has operational funding available for that expanded service]

REQUESTED FUNDING SOURCE:
Please indicate the program from which you are requesting funds.

_____Measure R South Bay Highway / Transit Sub-Fund Transfer ((HTST) (transit projects only)

CONCEPTUAL PROJECT BUDGET:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure R LOCAL</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Local (specify)</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Phased funding for specific project development tasks should be considered for projects that would require 3 or more years to be completed.*

SCOPE: [in a narrative, please describe the scope of the proposed improvements. The proposed improvements should directly address the deficiency and problem identified above]

- ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:

- DESIGN:

- RIGHT-OF-WAY:

- CONSTRUCTION:
CONCEPTUAL SCHEDULE:

Note: Measure R project funding agreement annual allocations expire 5 years from the allocation year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>END DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA/ED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&amp;E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT C - Location Map(s)

(Insert project location map and street view images to demonstrate proposed improvements)

Please insert a map of the project area