I. INTRODUCTIONS

II. REPORT OF POSTING OF AGENDA
   - ACTION: Receive and file

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

IV. REVIEW OF HANDOUTS

V. PUBLIC COMMENT

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR – action items noted, remainder are receive & file – 12:05 pm
   A. Steering Committee – June 11 meeting minutes – attached (Pages 5-8)
      - ACTION: Approve
   B. First Amendment to Memorandum of Understanding with WRCOG re: participation in HERO
      - Amendment – attached (Pages 9-11)
      - ACTION: Recommend Board approval
   C. Civic Spark service agreement
      - Agreement – attached (Pages 13-23)
      - ACTION: Recommend Board approval subject to legal review
   D. 2018 General Assembly Payments still outstanding
      - $1000 from Janice Hahn
   E. South Bay Environmental Services Center Activities Report – attached (Pages 25-31)

VII. ACTION ITEMS
   F. Commitment letters for new officers, at large Steering Committee members and SBCCOG representatives to outside agencies – 12:10 pm
      - Attached are the current commitment letters that we send to new officers, Steering Committee at large members and SBCCOG representatives on outside agencies. (Pages 33-38)
      - It has been several years since these letters have been reviewed and another look at their effectiveness is in order.
      - ACTION: Provide direction
   G. Board Meeting agenda development (draft agenda attached) – 12:20 pm (Pages 39-40)
      - July
        - Face of the Program – Martha Segovia
        - Greg Stevens with quarterly update
        - Emergency management speaker Jeff Robinson, Area G Coordinator confirmed
August
  o As discussed at the June Board meeting, the League of California Cities Division
    Installation Dinner is the same night as our Board meeting. A straw vote was
    taken at the SBCCOG Board meeting and 6 people did not want to change the
    date but 3 people did. SBCCOG staff was asked to look at other dates and bring
    them back to the Steering Committee.
  o Possible dates for the Board meeting are Wednesday, August 29 or Thursday,
    August 30. A request has been made to the Building Manager to see if our
    regular meeting room is available for either of those times. If not, we would have
    to find another location or use the large conference room in our office which is
    somewhat cramped for the Board meetings. An update will be provided at the
    meeting.
  o **ACTION:** Provide direction

September
  o LAWAN Transformation – Deborah Flint, Chief Executive Office confirmed

Future meetings
  o Victoria Park re-development – speaker invited
  o Green Line Extension Alternatives and Operating Plan issues
  o Strategic Vision of Uber and Lyft
  o Drone Ordinances

H. Special Events – **12:30 pm**
  ■ Virtual Dementia demo
  ■ Other Possibilities
    1. Public Engagement and Technology Workshop
    2. Tour of LAX – maybe summer/August
    3. Boring Company Tunnel Tour
    4. Space X tour

I. 2019 General Assembly Updates – Thursday, February 28, 2019 – **12:35 pm**
  ■ Sponsorships
  ■ VIP reception
  ■ **ACTION:** Provide direction

J. Transportation Committee Items, if necessary – **12:45 pm**

K. Legislative Issues – **12:50 pm**
  ■ Legislative Matrix [attached](Pages 41-46)
    o AB 987 – request for support from Ralph Franklin – information [attached](Pages 47-58)
    o AB 3194 amended – reconsider oppose position?
    o Added AB 2989 re: motorized scooters to monitor
  ■ **ACTION:** Recommend Board approval of recommended positions

L. Approval of Invoices – available at the meeting – **1:00 pm**
  ■ **ACTION:** Approve invoices for payment

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

M. Regional Bike Share Coordination – **1:05 pm**
  ■ Dockless multi-modal expo
  ■ Shared mobility update excerpted from Transportation Committee monthly report – [attached](Pages 59-62)
  ■ 15 transit and tech companies sign compact promoting equity, environmental, and
    social concerns.
N. Senior Tri-Shaw Pilot – 1:15 pm
   - A meeting was held with Beach Cities Health District and Supervisor Hahn’s staff concerning partnering to provide tri-shaw rides for seniors in the South Bay
   - More details will be provided at the meeting

O. Update on Homeless Program – 1:20 pm
   - City count should be available by end of July

P. Regional Broadband Network Initiative – 1:25 pm
   - Today is the last day for proposers to submit questions. Answers must be posted on our website by July 27

Q. Office Issues – 1:30 pm
   - The lease for the SBCCOG/SBESC offices is expiring in November 2019. In preparation, a meeting was held with the building management company
   - An update on pending issues will be provided at the meeting

R. Progress on Special Purpose Grants – 1:35 pm
   - Currently funded
     - i. Regional Broadband network – SBWIB and County
     - ii. Homeless Services coordination – County and PATH
     - iii. SCE/SCG Strategic Plan funds – for energy benchmarking for cities
     - iv. CSUDH/SBWIB/SBCCOG application re: study of student transportation/telecommuting in the South Bay with SB 1 research funds
     - v. Solsmart for participating cities of Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Manhattan Beach, Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, & Torrance
     - vi. Green Business Network for City of Torrance
   - Proposals pending
     - i. Measure M administration
     - ii. Green Business Network for City of Hawthorne
   - Under Discussion
     - i. CARB
     - ii. Hahn – senior tri-shaw rides

IX. STRATEGIC POSITIONING ITEMS/ANNOUNCEMENTS AND UPDATES
S. Other updates since agenda distribution – 1:40 pm

NEXT STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING – Monday, August 13, 2018 @ 12:00 pm

PLEASE CONSULT WEBSITE IF YOU ARE NOT SURE ABOUT THE MEETING SCHEDULE
South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Steering Committee
MINUTES
June 11, 2018

ATTENDEES: Britt Huff (1st Vice Chair, Rolling Hills Estates), Christian Horvath (2ND Vice Chair, Redondo Beach), Hany Fangary (Hermosa Beach), Olivia Valentine (Hawthorne), David Lesser (Manhattan Beach), Ralph Franklin (Inglewood), Drew Boyles (El Segundo), Cedric Hicks (Carson), Jim Osborne (Lawndale), Jacki Bacharach, Kim Fuentes, Wally Siembab & Steve Lantz (SBCCOG)

I. INTRODUCTIONS

II. REPORT OF POSTING OF AGENDA
   ■ ACTION: ReceiveD and filed – OSBORNE/HORVATH

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA - NONE

IV. REVIEW OF HANDOUTS - NONE

V. PUBLIC COMMENT - NONE

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR – action items noted, remainder are receive & file - 12:05 pm HORVATH/OSBORNE
   A. Steering Committee – May 14 meeting minutes attached
      ■ ACTION: ApproveD
   B. Integrated Pest Management Outreach Services Contract Renewal
      ■ For the Beach Cities Watershed Management Group and the Peninsula Watershed Management Group.
      ■ ACTION: RecommendED Board approval
   C. 2018 General Assembly Outstanding Sponsor Payments
      ■ $1000 from Janice Hahn
   D. South Bay Environmental Services Center Activities Report – attached
   D.1. Contract with Agnes Walker
      ■ Agnes Walker assisted the SBCCOG in the preparation of the 2018-2019 budget and was very helpful. It is recommended that the SBCCOG retain her services on an as needed basis for the coming year.
      ■ ACTION: RecommendED to Board of Directors that the SBCCOG contract with Agnes Walker for finance and budget related issues at an amount not to exceed $5000 from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019.
         • FYI - ADDED $900 TO HER 2017-2018 CONTRACT UNDER EX, DIR. AUTHORITY.

VII. ACTION ITEMS
   E. Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission - 12:10 pm
      ■ Alternate nominee – Jeff Duclos, Mayor, Hermosa Beach
      ■ ACTION: SMBRC alternate to Board of Directors – APPROVED DUCLOS – HORVATH/OSBORNE – notified SMBRC & Duclos 6/12/18 that this will be official on June 29 and sent Duclos the agenda for 6/21/18 meeting
   F. Support of Energy Partnership Program – Draft to Letter to PUC - 12:15 pm
      ■ Draft letter and explanation of importance at the meeting
DISCUSSION RE: COST EFFECTIVENESS.
HORVATH – REACH OUT TO THE LEAGUE? REACH OUT TO THE OTHER COGS?
FUENTES – WILL FOLLOW-UP WITH THE ENERGY DIVISION, PUC, LOCAL UTILITY PARTNERS, OTHER PARTNERSHIPS AND WE CAN CHECK WITH THE LEAGUE.
OSBORNE – CHECK WITH HIS STAFF AND MAYBE THE LETTER IS TOO LONG.
FUENTES – INTRO WITH ATTACHMENTS
BOYLES – EXPLAIN THE TRC FORMULA – UNDERSTAND HOW IT WORKS. WHAT DO THEY WANT TO ACHIEVE? WHY DON’T OUR PROGRAMS FIT IN THOSE PARAMETERS? WON’T SIGN WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING THE TRC.
FRANKLIN – WHAT IS THE REPERCUSSION OF SIGNING FOR YOUR CITY?
FANGARY – HE WOULD WRITE IT FOR HIMSELF AND THE ALTERNATE AND NOT FOR THE CITY
LESSER – WHY ISN’T OUR PROGRAM COST EFFECTIVE? NEED TO MAKE THAT CASE. ADD TO LETTER THAT THESE ARE COST EFFECTIVE.
ACTION:
• ASK MIKE JENKINS TO LOOK AT IT FOR ANY RAMIFICATIONS.
• FIND OUT FROM UTILITIES WHEN THIS IS GOING TO THE PUC
• SEND OUT REVISED INFORMATION AND LETTER BY END OF THIS WEEK

G. Volunteer Recognition Wall - 12:25 pm
Memos previously provided attached – NEW MEMO RE: SCROLL HANDED OUT AS REQUESTED IN APRIL
OSBORNE – ASK SOMEONE HE KNOWS FOR A PRICE QUOTE – LAWNDALE BUSINESSMAN
FRANKLIN WANTS THE VOLUNTEERS TO STAND OUT. HE Wants TO SEE PLAQUES AND NOT HAVE OTHER INFORMATION. DIGITAL Distracts FROM THE WHOLE PURPOSE.
LESSER – KEEP IT SIMPLE
ACTION: BID #1 – STATIONARY PLAQUE - FRANKLIN/LESSER

H. Board Meeting agenda development – 12:30 pm
June (draft agenda attached)
• Face of the Program – David Leger
• Budget approval
• Election of Officers
DEBORAH FLINT – LAWA (JOHN ERICKSON) – AIRPORT CHANGES – e-mail sent to Erickson 6/13/18 with June/July/August Board dates
• RECOGNITION OF CHAIR – PEN SET & COLLEAGUE GIFT

I. Future meetings
LA BioMed – FALL
WBMWD – de-salination update – maybe August/September?
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (VALENTINE) – WHAT OTHER CITIES ARE DOING? – INVITE JEFF ROBINSON
VICTORIA PARK
EIR ALTERNATIVES FOR THE GREEN LINE EXTENSION
UBER & LYFT AND THEIR STRATEGIC VISION
DRONE ORDINANCES (BOYLES)
ASK CITY MANAGERS FOR IDEAS

J. Special Events – 12:35 pm
L.A. County Cannabis Policy Seminar – Thursday, June 14 – Long Beach
Cannabis Manufacturing Facility – retail, storefront – Wednesday, June 27 – West Hollywood
– SEND DETAILS - RSVPs
Other Possibilities
1. Public Engagement and Technology Workshop
   - Tour of LAX – maybe summer/August - e-mail sent to Erickson 6/13/18 with request for July/August dates
2. Boring Company Tunnel Tour – HORVATH HAS CONTACT PERSON
3. Space X tour

   - Sponsorships
   - VIP reception – 75-100 PEOPLE - $10,000-$15,000. SOME SUGGESTIONS FROM MEMBERS FOR VENUES
   - EL SEGUNDO - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE CAN HELP WITH THIS
   - SUGGESTED TITLE: The Transforming South Bay: Ensuring Change for the Better
     - CONTROLLING CHANGE
     - WE’VE GOT WHAT IT TAKES
     - TRANSFORMING THE SOUTH BAY – MORE THAN 95% OF EL SEGUNDO’S REVENUE COMES FROM COMMERCIAL – WALKING THAT LINE TO KEEP IT WITH NEIGHBORHOOD FEEL
     - TRANSFORMING THE SOUTH BAY: SHAPING THE CHANGE

L. Transportation Committee Items as necessary - NONE

M. Regional Bike Share Coordination - 12:55 pm
   - Dockless multi-modal expo – June 28
   - DISCUSS THE VALUE OF THE COG’S ROLE - LESSER
   - FRANKLIN – CITIES NEXT TO EACH OTHER SHOULD BE ON THE SAME PAGE. SHARING THE SAME ROAD SPACE – ROAD DIET? EX – EXTENSION OF BIKE LANE INTO HAWTHORNE
   - HORVATH – WANTED TO GIVE BUSINESSES TIME TO RE-EVALUATE THEIR BUSINESS MODELS. DOCKLESS SEEMS TO BE THE FUTURE. NEED TO KNOW IF DOCKED ARE WORKING. CORRAL FOR BIKES.
   - LANTZ – COME KICK THE TIRES IS THE EXPO. NO PUBLIC PROMOTION. LOTS OF QUESTIONS THAT TASK FORCE WANTS TO ASK TO EACH OPERATOR
   - VALENTINE – WHEN WILL WE CLARIFY THE ISSUES? PRESENTATION AFTER THIS.
   - BAUM – CITY STAFF SHOULD INVITE WHO THEY WANT.
   - WILL COME BACK AFTER WITH QUESTIONS AND SUMMARY

N. Legislative Issues - 1:05 pm
   - Legislative Matrix attached – ADDED TO OPPOSE: AB 2890, AB 3194, SB 831, SB 10
     - OSBORNE/VALENTINE
   - POSITION ON SB 1 RECALL MEASURE - HORVATH/FANGARY – OSBORNE ABSTAIN
   - CALCOG REPORT – PASSED OUT BY HUFF
   - ACTION: ApproveD recommended positions
   - AT BOARD MEETING – HOW WE DID ON PROPOSITIONS & OPPOSE SB 1

O. 2018-2019 Draft Operating Budget - 1:10 pm
   - Funding from Supervisor Ridley-Thomas confirmed for PATH and the SBCCOG will be receiving $25,000. The increase is reflected in the draft Budget. The deficit is reduced from $59K to $49K.
   - Revised budget attached - subcontractor breakdown included
   - HORVATH – PUT SUBCONTRACTOR BREAKDOWN IN FUTURE BUDGETS AS ADDENDUM
   - ACTION: ReviewED and transmiTEDt to the Board for adoption

P. Approval of Invoices – available at the meeting – 1:15 pm
ACTION: ApproveD invoices for payment – FRANKLIN/OSBORNE
TY TO BOARD RE: WISDOM OF RESERVE ACCOUNT - FRANKLIN

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

Q. Update on Homeless Program – 1:20 pm
   ■ Ridley-Thomas confirmed funding for PATH services at reduced amount – from $600,000 to $400,000. SBCCOG to still receive $25,000 for coordination and oversight.
   ■ FRANKLIN – CONTINUITY OF STAFF IF VERY IMPORTANT

R. Progress on Regional Broadband Network Initiative – 1:35 pm
   ■ RFP OUT WEDNESDAY, JUNE 13

S. Telework Project with SBWIB and CSUDH - 1:40 pm
   ■ SURVEY BEING PREPARED
   ■ CSUDH WILL BE DOING FOCUS GROUPS

T. Comments to SCAG re: RTP/SCS Sustainability goals - 1:45 pm
   ■ ATTACHED

   SCAG VIDEO EQUIPMENT IS BEING REPLACED. WE ARE KEEPING THE OLD EQUIPMENT AT NO COST.

U. Status of Assessment of Internet Service Provider (ISP) & Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) provider for SBCCOG Office - 1:50 pm
   ■ PHONES REDUCED - $90/MONTH LESS.
   ■ ONLY 2 PROVIDERS COME HERE
      a. VERIZON DOESN’T PROVIDE SERVICE HERE
      b. THERE MAY BE ANOTHER PROVIDER AT REDUCED RATE - TELEPACIFIC. MAYBE UNDER $500 BUT WE NEED A SITE SURVEY FIRST. WE ARE CURRENTLY PAYING OVER $1000/MONTH. OUR CURRENT CONTRACT – SPECTRUM - IS UP AT THE END OF NEXT YEAR AND THERE WOULD BE A PENALTY TO BREAK THE CONTRACT.

V. Progress on Special Purpose Grants - 1:55 pm
   ■ Currently funded
      i. Regional Broadband network – SBWIB and County
      ii. Homeless Services coordination – County and PATH
      iii. SCE/SCG Strategic Plan funds – for energy benchmarking for cities
      iv. CSUDH/SBWIB/SBCCOG application re: study of student transportation/telecommuting in the South Bay with SB 1 research funds
   ■ Proposals pending
      i. Measure M administration
      ii. Integrated Pest Management re: coyote public education and training
      iii. SolSmart for participating cities of Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Manhattan Beach, Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, & Torrance
   ■ Under Discussion
      i. CARB
      ii. Hahn – senior tri-shaw rides

IX. STRATEGIC POSITIONING ITEMS/ANNOUNCEMENTS AND UPDATES

V. Other updates since agenda distribution – 2:00 pm

NEXT STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING – Monday, July 9, 2018 @ 12:00 pm

ASK CITY RE: VIDEO CONFERENCING FACILITIES – DO THEY HAVE ANY
FIRST AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN
WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
AND
SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGARDING PARTICIPATING IN THE CALIFORNIA HERO PROGRAM

This First Amendment to Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Participating in the California HERO Program (“First Amendment”) is made and entered into as of this ___ day of ____________, 2018 (“Effective Date”), by and between the Western Riverside Council of Governments, a joint exercise of powers authority (“WRCOG”), and South Bay Cities Council of Governments ("Participant"). WRCOG and Participant are sometimes individually referred to as “Party” and collectively as “Parties.”

RECITALS

WHEREAS, WRCOG and Participant previously entered into that certain Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Participating in the California HERO Program (“MOU”) for the purpose of setting forth the mutual understanding, terms, and conditions related to partnering with the California HERO Program; and

WHEREAS, the Parties now wish to make certain amendments to provisions relating to the term of the MOU. Specifically, the Parties desire for the term of the MOU to continue until terminated by either Party upon 30 days’ written notice; and

WHEREAS, Section 6 of the MOU authorizes the Parties to amend the MOU in writing by mutual agreement; and

WHEREAS, capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning set forth in the MOU and by this reference herein incorporated.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals, the Parties hereby agree, as follows:

TERMS

1. Section 3. Section 3 of the MOU is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

   “3. Term. The term of this MOU shall begin on the Effective Date, and shall continue until terminated by either Party, for any reason, and upon at least thirty (30) days’ written notice. Notice shall be given in accordance with Section 12 of this MOU, and shall be deemed given on the date that it is mailed by registered or certified mail, as evidenced by proof of mailing.”
2. **Continuing Effect of MOU.** Except as amended by this First Amendment, all provisions of the MOU shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect. From and after the date of this First Amendment, whenever the term “MOU” appears in the MOU, it shall mean the MOU as amended by this First Amendment.

3. **Adequate Consideration.** The Parties hereto irrevocably stipulate and agree that they have each received adequate and independent consideration for the performance of the obligations they have undertaken pursuant to this First Amendment.

4. **Counterparts.** This First Amendment may be executed in duplicate originals, each of which is deemed to be an original, but when taken together shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

    *[Signature Page Follows]*
SIGNATURE PAGE TO

FIRST AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN
WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
AND
SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
REGARDING PARTICIPATING IN THE CALIFORNIA HERO PROGRAM

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this First Amendment to be effective as of the day first above written.

WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

By: ______________________________
    Rick Bishop
    Executive Director

APPROVED AS TO FORM

SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

By: ______________________________
    Britt Huff
    Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: ______________________________
    Best Best & Krieger LLP
    General Counsel

By: ______________________________
    Michael Jenkins
    SBCCOG Counsel
AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into as of July 26, 2018 by and between South Bay Cities Council of Governments, ("Partner") and the Local Government Commission ("LGC").

RECITALS

A. Partner desires to engage LGC to provide certain services through the CivicSpark program.
B. CivicSpark is a federally funded AmeriCorps program operated by LGC, in which LGC recruits, hires, and supervises emerging professionals.
C. The CivicSpark Program provides sustainability related capacity building services to local governments in California through project implementation activities performed by LGC teams; LGC staff and CivicSpark Fellows (Fellows). Fellows can only work on contracted and allowable service activities (Exhibit “A”) in one of three tracks; Climate, Water, or Opportunity Access. CivicSpark will provide this service to local governments by conducting assessments, implementing planning or action projects, engaging volunteers, and transferring knowledge to local government staff.
D. LGC desires to provide those services and to be compensated accordingly.
E. Partner and LGC enter into this Agreement in order to memorialize the terms concerning LGC's performance of the services and Partner's obligations with respect thereto.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals and the mutual agreements set forth herein, Partner and LGC hereby covenant and agree as follows:

1. Appointment. Partner hereby appoints LGC as an independent contractor to perform the services described in Exhibit "B", "Scope of Services" attached hereto. LGC hereby accepts such appointment on the terms and conditions set forth herein.

Partner also agrees to the responsibilities and roles as described in Exhibit “C”, as they relate to Partner’s participation in the CivicSpark program. Partner hereby accepts such responsibilities on the terms and conditions set forth herein.

Neither party may vary the scope of services described in Exhibit "B" or responsibilities in Exhibit “C” except as expressly agreed to in writing by the other party. The budgets for direct labor and expenses are based on the services described in Exhibit "B." Any modification of the scope of services may affect direct labor costs and project expenses and must be approved in writing by Partner.

2. Performance of Consulting Services. LGC shall perform the services in a diligent, competent and professional manner.

3. Consulting Fee; Reimbursable Expenses.
(a) Partner shall pay LGC a fee for the services provided, as described in Exhibit "D," "Description of Compensation," attached hereto.

(b) LGC shall be entitled to reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of this Agreement, limited to those expenses listed in Exhibit "E," "Reimbursable Expenses," attached hereto, up to the maximum amount set forth in Exhibit "E." Upon receipt of LGC’s invoice, Partner shall notify LGC if it has any exceptions to LGC’s invoice. When LGC and Partner are in agreement on the terms of LGC’s invoice, Partner shall submit the invoice for payment. Partner shall reimburse LGC within thirty (30) days of receiving the invoice.

4. **Term.** The term of this Agreement shall commence and LGC's duties and responsibilities under this Agreement shall begin as of the date first written above and shall continue, as agreed to in the timeline defined in Exhibit “F.” This agreement is subject to earlier termination as provided herein, until the services are complete and all compensation and reimbursable expenses are paid to LGC.

This agreement may be terminated at anytime by either party for cause. This agreement may be terminated by either party, without cause, upon 30 days written notice to the non-terminating party.

5. **Excuse of Performance.** LGC's obligation to perform the services specified in this contract shall be excused if the performance is prevented or substantially delayed due to circumstances not caused, in whole or in part, by LGC, including any such circumstances caused by Partner.

6. **Independent Contractor.** It is the intent of the parties that LGC is and shall remain an independent contractor, and LGC shall (i) comply in all material respects with all the laws, rules, ordinances, regulations and restrictions applicable to the services, and (ii) pay all federal and state taxes applicable to LGC, whether levied under existing or subsequently enacted laws, rules or regulations. The parties hereto do not intend to create an employer-employee or master-servant relationship of any kind.

7. **Insurance.** LGC agrees to maintain: (1) commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of $1,000,000, written on an occurrence form basis, protecting it from claims for personal injury (including bodily injury and death) and property damage which may arise from or in connection with the performance of Consultant’s Services hereunder or from or out of any act or omission of Consultant, its officers, directors, agents, subcontractors or employees; (2) professional liability insurance with minimum limits of $1,000,000; (3) worker’s compensation insurance as required by law; and (4) hired and non-owned auto insurance with minimum limits of $1,000,000 for each accident. If requested, LGC shall provide a certificate of said insurance and an additional insured endorsement to Partner within 10 days of the execution of this Agreement.

8. **Limitation of Liability.** With regard to the services to be performed by the LGC pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, the LGC shall not be liable to Partner, or to anyone who may claim any right due to LGC's relationship with Partner for any acts or omissions in the performance of said services on the part of the LGC, except when said acts or omissions are the result of any willful misconduct by LGC. Partner shall hold the LGC free and harmless from any obligations, costs, claims, judgments, attorney's fees, and attachments arising out of the services rendered to Partner.
pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or in any way connected with the rendering of said services, except when the same shall arise due to the willful misconduct of the LGC.

9. Ownership of Documents. Ownership of any designs, plans, maps, reports, specifications, drawings, and other information or items produced by LGC while performing Services under this Agreement will be assigned to and owned jointly by LGC and Partner. The original of all reports, memoranda, studies, plans, specifications, drawings, materials, exhibits, maps or other similar or related documents prepared by LGC in the performance of the Services for Partner shall be the joint property of LGC and Partner.

10. Notices. All notices or other communications required or permitted to be given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been given when delivered if personally delivered, or three (3) business days after mailing if mailed by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, and shall be addressed as follows:

To Partner:
Jacki Bacharach
South Bay Cities Council of Governments
20285 S. Western Ave, #100
Torrance, CA 90501
310-371-7222
Jacki@southbaycities.org

To LGC:
Linda Cloud
Local Government Commission
980 9th Street, Suite 1700
Sacramento, CA 95814-2736
916-448-1198
916-448-8246 fax
lcloud@lgc.org

Either party may change its address by giving written notice thereof to the other party.

11. Attorneys' Fees. The party prevailing in any action at law or in equity necessary to enforce or interpret the terms of this Agreement shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and necessary disbursements in addition to any other relief to which that party may be entitled.

12. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

13. Entire Agreement; Amendments. This Agreement contains all of the agreements of the parties hereto with respect to the matters contained herein and no prior or contemporaneous agreement or understanding, oral or written, pertaining to any such matters shall be effective for any purpose. No
provision of this Agreement may be amended or added to except by an agreement in writing signed by the parties hereto or their respective successors in interest.

14. **Headings.** The headings of this Agreement are for purposes of reference only and shall not limit or define the meaning of the provisions of this Agreement.

15. **Severability.** If any paragraph, section, sentence, clause or phrase contained in this Agreement shall become illegal, null or void or against public policy, for any reason, or shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, null or void or against public policy, the remaining paragraphs, sections, sentences, clauses or phrases contained in this Agreement shall not be affected thereby.

16. **Waiver.** The waiver of any breach of any provision hereunder by any party hereto shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any preceding or subsequent breach hereunder.

17. **Warranty of Authority.** Each of the undersigned hereby warrants that he/she has authority on behalf of his or her principal to execute this Agreement and to bind such principal to the terms hereof.

18. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed by electronic or hard-copy signature and in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument. The exchange of executed copies of this Agreement by facsimile, email or other electronic transmission will constitute effective execution and delivery of this Agreement for all purposes. Signatures of the parties transmitted by such methods will be treated in all respects as having the same effect as an original signature.

DATED:

______________________________________________

PARTNER

DATED:  

______________________________________________

Linda Cloud, Managing Director
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
Exhibit “A” Contracted Performance Measures and Prohibited Activities

LGC has contracted with the Corporation of National and Community Service to implement CivicSpark as an AmeriCorps program. Fellows can only work on service outlined in performance measures approved by the Corporation for National and Community Service for one of three program tracks: Climate, Water, or Opportunity Access. These performance measures define how CivicSpark will provide service to local governments by: conducting assessments; implementing planning, research or implementation projects; engaging volunteers; and transferring knowledge to local government staff. The project scope in exhibit A must align with the measures below:

1) **Capacity Building for Local Governments** – Fellows’ direct service hours should be spent building capacity for local government beneficiaries to address their relative needs with regard to the specific track issues they are assigned to. Fellows will address these needs by assisting the local government beneficiary to develop or implement projects that they would otherwise not be able to complete. Capacity building for Fellows will be delivered in 4 stages, including: 1) gap assessments; 2) research, planning, and implementation service projects; volunteer engagement; and transition of knowledge.

2) **Volunteer Engagement** – All Fellows should have the opportunity to build further capacity for local governments by engaging, recruiting, and supporting volunteers. Volunteers may be engaged as either one-time volunteers (e.g. – volunteers to assist for a specific event such as Earth Day or service activities) or as on-going volunteers such as interns).

3) **Training and Professional Development for Fellows** – Fellows can spend up to 20% of their service year (340 of their 1700 total hours) on training. Training includes the 1-week intensive orientation at the start of the service year, mid-year gathering, continued monthly trainings, and professional development and networking opportunities. Training hours ensure that Fellows have the training and tools they need to succeed in their sustainability work.

The majority of the work provided by CivicSpark to local governments via direct service only involves the first two measures (Capacity Building and Volunteer Engagement). The third measure is predominantly met through training and professional development activities provided to the Fellows by LGC. Some activities that occur while working with local government beneficiaries or other project partners may be considered training and professional development, such as networking events and trainings conducted by or attended in partnership with the local government beneficiary.

Federal guidelines further restrict certain activities, which cannot be engaged in by CivicSpark Fellows or Supervisors while charging time to the AmeriCorps program, accumulating service or training hours, or otherwise performing activities supported by the AmeriCorps program or the Corporation for National and Community Service. **In addition to only working on contracted performance measure service activities, the following activities are prohibited** (see 45 CFR § 2520.65):

1) Attempting to influence legislation;
2) Organizing or engaging in protests, petitions, boycotts, or strikes;
3) Assisting, promoting, or deterring union organizing;
4) Impairing existing contracts for services or collective bargaining agreements;
5) Engaging in partisan political activities, or other activities designed to influence the outcome of an election to any public office;
6) Participating in, or endorsing, events or activities that are likely to include advocacy for or against political parties, political platforms, political candidates, proposed legislation, or elected officials;

7) Engaging in religious instruction, conducting worship services, providing instruction as part of a program that includes mandatory religious instruction or worship, constructing or operating facilities devoted to religious instruction or worship, maintaining facilities primarily or inherently devoted to religious instruction or worship, or engaging in any form of religious proselytization;

8) Providing a direct benefit to—
   a) A business organized for profit;
   b) A labor union;
   c) A partisan political organization;
   d) A nonprofit organization that fails to comply with the restrictions contained in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 related to engaging in political activities or substantial amount of lobbying except that nothing in these provisions shall be construed to prevent participants from engaging in advocacy activities undertaken at their own initiative; and
   e) An organization engaged in the religious activities described above, unless CNCS assistance is not used to support those religious activities;

9) Conducting a voter registration drive or using CNCS funds to conduct a voter registration drive;

10) Providing abortion services or referrals for receipt of such services; and

11) Such other activities as CNCS may prohibit.

Fellows, like other private citizens, may participate in the above listed activities on their own time, at their own expense, and on their own initiative. However, the AmeriCorps logo must not be worn while doing so.
Exhibit "B" Scope of Services

LGC will perform the following services:

1) General Program Responsibilities
   a) Provide clear guidelines to Fellows regarding AmeriCorps regulations and expectations.
   b) Recruit and train Fellows to provide capacity building services for the region.
   c) Work to provide support and guidance for Fellows, addressing any concerns that might develop during the service year.
   d) Manage local government beneficiary and/or other partner service contracts.
   e) Share outcomes from service with Partner.

2) Fellow Responsibilities
   a) Pass a state, national, and NSOPR background check before starting their service year.
   b) Participate in a 1-week program orientation and complete at least 100 hours of training through dedicated Fellow training, development, and service days.
   c) Serve an average of 37 hours per week for 11 months, serving a minimum of 1700 total hours, with at least 1300 hours dedicated to Partner project activities (see below).
   d) Comply with guidelines for performance measures and abide by regulations on prohibited activities described in Exhibit A above.
   e) Complete accurate project reporting in a timely manner as required by the Corporation for National Community Service (CNCS), including: assessments, implementation, hours served, volunteers recruited and supported, and transition of knowledge to local governments.
   f) Avoid participation in prohibited activities.
   g) Identify as a Fellow and wear AmeriCorps lapel pins or gear during service hours.
   h) Participate in days of national service including, but not limited to: Martin Luther King, Jr. Day of Service; 9/11 Day of Remembrance; and AmeriCorps week Service Day.

3) Project Specific Scope of Work
   PLEASE USE THIS SECTION AS GUIDANCE AND REPLACE WITH SPECIFIC CONTENT FOR YOUR PROJECT
   a) This section should describe specific Climate, Water, or Opportunity Access capacity building projects. Broadly outline the project goals and include major tasks or project phases within the realm of practical service activities for Fellows in the CivicSpark program.
   b) We encourage this scope to be general and high level, to allow the Fellow to be responsive to evolving project needs of Partner and to be flexible in providing capacity building support where needed throughout the term of this agreement.
   c) In addition to the project description, this scope must acknowledge that:
      i) The project will include: 1) an initial gap assessment conducted by the Fellow, 2) a volunteer engagement component, and 3) a transitional support activity. These pieces do not need to be defined in detail but should be referenced as part of the scope. LGC staff can discuss these elements and provide details or examples upon request.
      ii) Minor changes to the scope following the requisite gap assessment process may be needed.
      iii) The project will comply with AmeriCorps service guidelines including prohibited activities described in Exhibit “A”.
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Exhibit "C" Partner Responsibilities

Partner will perform the following services:

1) Support Responsibilities
   a) Support Fellow recruitment by advertising your open placement through appropriate channels and networks (e.g., websites, newsletters, social media, job boards, etc.)
   b) Identify one staff member to act as the “Site Supervisor” for the project, and point person for both the Fellow and CivicSpark staff.
   c) Site Supervisor shall support project implementation and professional development by:
      i) Setting aside at least 1 hour/week to check in with each Fellow and provide assistance for each approved project.
      ii) Familiarizing Fellows to the host organization, resources, and project scope.
      iii) Completing an initial performance assessment of each Fellow (survey and goal setting) within 1 month of the start of the service year; conducting a mid-year performance review; and completing a final performance review survey prior to the end of the service year.
      iv) Seeking opportunities to integrate Fellows’ professional goals into project activities.
      v) As appropriate, facilitating Fellows’ transition at the end of their service year by introducing Fellows to relevant colleagues and networks.
      vi) Provide adequate professional workspace for Fellows (e.g., desk, computer, phone).
   d) Develop defined project scope(s) and identify goals to be completed in agreed upon timeframe.
   e) Support implementation of project(s) consistent with scope above and in line with CivicSpark program goals (including supporting volunteer engagement activities and participating in transitional event)
   f) Keep Regional Coordinators and/or other LGC staff apprised of project developments and/or challenges, and working to redefine project scope(s) and goals as necessary.
   g) If challenges arise (related to professionalism, work products, etc.) provide specific written feedback to the Fellow and share with LGC staff in a timely manner so LGC staff can assess the challenges and intervene as needed.
   h) Assist with occasional site visits to Partner by LGC staff.
   i) Not displace Partner staff or volunteers through the use of CivicSpark Fellows, nor have CivicSpark Fellows perform any services or duties that would supplant the hiring of employed workers.
   j) Not offer the CivicSpark Fellow part time work that is substantially similar to their CivicSpark scope of work, nor offer them full time employment with a start date prior to the Service Year end date.

2) Reporting Responsibilities
   a) Complete applications for CivicSpark projects, identifying:
      i) Total hours desired for service work;
      ii) Identification of 2 beneficiaries per fellow.
         (1) Beneficiaries can be individual departments within a single local government or even individual staff members within the same department.
         (2) Eligibility of beneficiaries varies by project track. Specific eligibility requirements are provided here: http://civicspark.lgc.org/join-civicspark/project/
   b) Ensure a staff person involved in the project from each local government beneficiary completes a pre-service capacity assessment survey before the start of the service year and a post-service capacity assessment towards the end of the year. The pre-service survey defines goals for the
c) Ensure a staff person involved in the project from each local government beneficiary participates in a project interview early on in the service year (within the first 2-3 weeks), a part of the CivicSpark gap assessment process.

d) Submit Fellow performance assessments on time, as described above.

e) Complete any additional project reporting defined as necessary.

f) Allow CivicSpark to share results of all reporting with California Volunteers and CNCS, for required grant reporting.
Exhibit "D" Description of Compensation

Costs, total project hours\(^1\), additional prep-hours and travel budget for support options on a per-Fellow basis are defined below.

LGC will receive no more than \[\$25,500\], for \([1]\) Fellows in the \(\text{Climate}\) Track, for performing the services of this contract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Opportunity Access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000 / 2 Fellows</td>
<td>$46,000 / 2 Fellows</td>
<td>$50,000 / 2 Fellows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25,500 / 1 Fellow</td>
<td>$23,000 / additional Fellow</td>
<td>$25,500 / 1 Fellow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Project Support            | 11 Months, 2,600+ project hours / 2 Fellows (1,300+ project hours / 1 Fellow) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Benefits</th>
<th>Per 2 Fellows</th>
<th>Per 1 Fellow(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 160 additional project-prep hours.</td>
<td>Up to 80 additional project-prep hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 300 volunteer engagement hours. Up to $800 in project-related travel</td>
<td>Up to 150 volunteer engagement hour. Up to $400 in project-related travel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Work completed under this contract will be performed by CivicSpark AmeriCorps Fellows.

To proceed with services, LGC requires a down payment of 10% of the total agreement amount or \[\$2,550\]. The deposit will be credited against initial invoices each of which will be the total amount of the contract divided by the period of the contract and billed monthly.

Invoices will only include the amount due in each given installment (monthly or quarterly). A separate remaining budget and hours to date report is provided for reference each month. Partner must inform LGC prior to the project start if they need invoices to include specific format, tasks, billing codes, or other details. Partner must also provide clear instructions to LGC about how time should be tracked and reported, if necessary.

LGC is committing to making the CivicSpark Fellow available for a specific period. Therefore, LGC will invoice Partner for the full installment amount (monthly or quarterly), regardless of Fellow activity during any given period. If for some reason LGC is unable to provide services for the full contract duration (e.g., a Fellow leaves the program for medical or personal reasons and a suitable replacement

\(^1\) Note that project hours include all project related activities as well as basic administrative tasks related to CivicSpark service (e.g., completing timecards, progress reports, project related communications with LGC staff, etc.).

\(^2\) If you are interested in a "half fellow" (650 hrs) the cost will be \$13,000, and the additional benefits will be \(\frac{1}{2}\) of what is listed above. While we do allow half-Fellow placements, they have to be matched locally with another partner also requesting a half-Fellow. This option can be hard to realize. If possible, consider requesting a full Fellow or identifying a matching partner prior to applying, as CivicSpark may not be able to find a match in all circumstances.
cannot be provided), Partner is only responsible for the portion of the contract amount for the period of service actually provided.

**Exhibit "E" Reimbursable Expenses**

LGC will cover up to $400 per Fellow ([$400 x number of Fellows, depending on the option selected in Exhibit “D”]) for CivicSpark Fellow travel-related expenses related to the service project.

Other project related expenses shall be submitted to Partner in writing for approval *prior* to Partner being charged for reimbursement for an expense incurred during the completion of activities outlined in the Scope of Service (Exhibit “B”).

**Exhibit "F" Timeline**

All tasks enumerated in Exhibit "B" are to start on September 10, 2018 and should be completed by September 9, 2019.
South Bay Cities Council of Governments

July 9, 2018

TO: SBCCOG Steering Committee

FROM: Jacki Bacharach, SBCCOG Executive Director
Kim Fuentes, Deputy Executive Director

SUBJECT: Environmental Activities Report – June 2018

Adherence to Strategic Plan:
Goal A: Environment, Transportation, and Economic Development. Facilitate, implement, and/or educate members and others about environmental, transportation, and economic development programs that benefit the South Bay.

I. PROGRAMS - TECHNOLOGY, PLANNING, & RESEARCH

Energy Efficiency

Energy Efficiency Partnership Program – Southern California Edison (SCE)

2018 Energy Saving Goal: 1,245,812 kWh  2018 Status: 912,575 Goal: 73.3% achieved
2018 Demand Reduction Goal: 43.21 kW  2018 Status: 89 kW Goal: Met by 205%

Energy Leader Partnership – Invited by our SCE and SCG partners, SBCCOG energy staff attended the Annual Statewide Energy Efficiency Forum that provided education, best practices, and networking opportunities designed to help local governments save energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions in their communities. Staff was able to meet with utility and CPUC representatives as well as 3rd party providers to discuss the future of energy efficiency for municipal facilities.

The following is a list of “pipeline” projects specific to electricity. Each city’s Energy Leader Partnership (ELP) program status is also included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Current ELP Tier Level</th>
<th>Energy Efficiency Measures (EEMs)</th>
<th>Estimated Completion Date</th>
<th>Estimated kWh savings</th>
<th>Estimated Incentive ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carson</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Lighting/Pool Boiler/Water Heater/VFD</td>
<td>Q2/18</td>
<td>28,578</td>
<td>$6,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pool Pump VFD</td>
<td>Q3/18</td>
<td>51,758</td>
<td>$11,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exterior &amp; Interior Lighting</td>
<td>Q3/18</td>
<td>729,931</td>
<td>$156,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LED Streetlights</td>
<td>Q2/18</td>
<td>588,596</td>
<td>$234,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LED Sports Lighters</td>
<td>Q4/18</td>
<td>733,452</td>
<td>$124,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Segundo</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Exterior LED Lighting</td>
<td>Q2/19</td>
<td>184,396</td>
<td>$31,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardena</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Exterior &amp; Interior Lighting</td>
<td>Q4/18</td>
<td>78,125</td>
<td>$13,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exterior &amp; Interior Lighting</td>
<td>Q4/18</td>
<td>284,534</td>
<td>$24,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne</td>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Exterior LED Lighting</td>
<td>Q2/19</td>
<td>194,254</td>
<td>$38,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermosa Beach</td>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>Exterior LED Lighting</td>
<td>Q4/18</td>
<td>29,574</td>
<td>$5,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LS-1 LED Streetlights</td>
<td>Q4/18</td>
<td>212,423</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inglewood</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Chiller VFD/HVAC Controls</td>
<td>Q1/19</td>
<td>291,870</td>
<td>$115,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exterior LED Lighting</td>
<td>Q2/19</td>
<td>477,067</td>
<td>$81,101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City | Current ELP Tier Level | Energy Efficiency Measures (EEMs) | Estimated Completion Date | Estimated kWh savings | Estimated Incentive ($) |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
Manhattan Beach | Platinum | Elevator Motor-Generator Set | Q2/18 | 121,900 | $29,007 |
Palos Verdes Estates | Platinum | LED Lighting | Q4/18 | 33,739 | $7,240 |
Rancho Palos Verdes | Platinum | LED LS-1 to LS-2 Conversion | Q2/18 | 557,976 | $240,992 |
Redondo Beach | Gold | Exterior LED Lighting | Q2/19 | 381,513 | $64,857 |
Torrance | Gold | Exterior LED Lighting | Q2/19 | 814,894 | $143,122 |

**Energy Efficiency Partnership Program – Southern California Gas Company (SCG)**

**2018 Goal:** 10,000 therms  
**2018 Status:** 10,800 therms in pipeline awaiting SCG approval

**HERO – PACE:** SBCCOG continues to promote PACE financing for homeowners. The spreadsheet below covers the activity from each South Bay members’ jurisdiction since the launch date through May 2018. Proceeds from HERO for 2018 Q1 = $442.85. Proceeds for 2018 Q2 are expected in July 2018. Total since program start in 2014 = $28,039.97. Payments to SBCCOG are based on HERO programs that are completed in the South Bay. Activity through May 2018 listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Therm Savings</th>
<th>Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Inglewood</td>
<td>Replace 4 x boilers</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Carson</td>
<td>Hemingway Park pool heaters</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**YGRENE – PACE:** The SBCCOG also receives funding for Ygrene activities in the South Bay in return for promoting PACE. Ygrene payments to the SBCCOG for 2018 through Q1 are $719.85 with a total of $7,207.71 since 2016 when program started. Payments to SBCCOG are based on Ygrene programs that are completed in the South Bay.
Activity through May 2018 is listed below:

South Bay Green Business Assist Program
SBCCOG and City of Torrance staff met to discuss potential collaboration on the City's Green Business Network program. SBCCOG staff conducted a business outreach walk in Lawndale with Council Member Jim Osborne and met with businesses in Manhattan Beach. In addition, SBCCOG staff is in process of reaching out to current participating businesses to complete a revised survey. Participants by city: Torrance (35), Lawndale (26), Redondo Beach (16), El Segundo (15), Gardena (15), Hawthorne (15), Carson (12), Inglewood (10), Manhattan Beach (8), Palos Verdes Estates (7), Rancho Palos Verdes (7), Hermosa Beach (5), Rolling Hills Estates (4), Lomita (3), Lennox (2), and Los Angeles County – Community of Westmont (1) for a total of 181 businesses in the program as of the end of June 2018.

Water Conservation
West Basin Municipal Water District Programs (West Basin) Contract year is Sept. 1, 2017 through Aug. 31, 2018

Task 1. Educational Outreach Support
Exhibit Events
Contract goals: 100 exhibit events, presentations, etc.
Status of goal: 79 exhibit events, presentations, etc.

Task 1 has been revised by West Basin to include Water Bottle Filling Station Program and to schedule presentations by West Basin staff at SBCCOG committee meetings, if and when possible.

Water Bottle Filling Station Program
Status: After SBCCOG staff focused efforts in Inglewood, the City has submitted an application for a Water Bottle Filling Station at Darby Park. City of Lawndale is expected to submit an application in the new FY 2018-2019 program year.

Task 2. Support for Workshops & Events
Educational Classes
Contract goals: minimum of 12 and a maximum of 24
Status of goals: 4 completed; staff finalized 5 locations to hold Turf Removal classes between the months of August 2018 and March 2019.

Rain Barrel Giveaway
Contract goal: 5
Status of goal: 5 completed GOAL MET; SBCCOG staff is working with West Basin staff to begin to identify locations and dates for rain barrel giveaway events in the 2018-2019 contract year.

Greywater Workshops
Contract goal: 10 workshops: 5 symposiums and 5 technical workshops (2 in each Director’s division)
Status of goal: 10 workshops completed: 2/15/18 and 2/27/18 in Redondo Beach; 3/14/18 and 3/20/18 in Inglewood; 4/11/18 and 4/18/18 in Culver City (for El Segundo residents); 5/16/18 and 5/22/18 in Hawthorne; and 6/13/18 and 6/20/18 in Carson -- GOAL MET

Water Harvest
Contract goal: support West Basin with Water Harvest Event
Status of goal: completed; event was held October 14, 2017 -- GOAL MET

Task 3. Cash for Kitchens
Contract goal: target up to 75 commercial kitchens
Status of goal: 24 water surveys completed; Six surveys were conducted in the month of June.

Task 4. Disadvantaged Community (DAC) Water-Energy Initiative Program (Clothes-Washer)
Contract goal: provide outreach to DAC areas within West Basin’s service area and provide free installation of high-efficiency showerheads, faucet aerators, and clothes washers.
Status of goal: SBCCOG staff continues with outreach efforts to develop contacts for this program.

Task 5. Translation Services
Contract goal: as needed
Status of goal: no translation services were provided in the month of June.

Torrance Water
Task 1: Support for educational classes (California Friendly Landscape Training (CFLT) or Turf Removal (TR)
Class and community events (This goal is dependent upon West Basin establishing classes).
Contract goal: as requested
Status of goal: 4 CFLT/TR/Greywater classes completed; 1 scheduled: 9/8/18 (Greywater). Torrance hosted the City Yard Open House on 6/2/18. Torrance has also scheduled 2 classes with WRD that the SBCCOG is promoting: 1) 7/14/18 WRD Edible Gardening class and 2) 8/11/18 WRD Sustainable Landscaping Design Class.

Task 2: Cash for Kitchens
Contract goal: 10 new commercial kitchens; 10 follow-up site visits
Status of goal: 10 water surveys completed in June; 10 follow-up site visits completed. GOAL MET.

MWD Caucus Meeting
At the June meeting there was a presentation by Brad Coffey, Metropolitan Water District Group Manager, Water Resource Management that included an overview of water conservation legislation signed by Governor Brown May 31, 2018. The legislation focuses on urban water use efficiency and drought planning under the banner “Conservation is a California Way of Life.” Mr. Coffey also gave an evaluation of the regional storage portfolio; an update on the Local Resource Program; and conservation and outreach activities in disadvantaged communities.

Promotion of WRD programs continues through the SBCCOG's information kiosk, SBESC e-newsletter, the Green Building Assist Program e-newsletter, and community events. SBCCOG staff is working with WRD staff to schedule a Spanish-language Edible Gardening Workshop in Lennox.

Sanitation Districts of LA County (LACSD) Contract year is January 1-December 31, 2018
Contract goals: Schedule up to 5 Sanitation Districts-related presentations
Status of goals: 2 have been completed: 3/20/18 and 4/19/18; 1 has been scheduled: 10/9/18. SBCCOG staff continues to reach out to community organizations to schedule presentations.

Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) Contract year is January 1-December 31, 2018
Contract goals:
• 8-12 targeted special exhibit events; Status of goal: 6 completed
• 1 training for SBCCOG Volunteers on LADWP programs; Status of goal: Training 3/15/18 - GOAL MET
• 6-8 commercial kitchens to be identified for water assessments and conservation training; Status of goal: SBCCOG staff in process of identifying potential commercial kitchens; water assessments are scheduled to begin in July.

Transportation
Vanpool Program (Contract period Feb. 1, 2013 – Nov. 30, 2018)
Contract goals: 72 outreach events; 18 information/formation meetings
Status of goals: 242 outreach events - GOAL MET: 13 information/formation meetings

In June, collateral materials were distributed at 11 SBCCOG outreach events. In addition, 1 meeting was held with California State University Dominguez Hills (CSUDH) in support of restarting their EV vanpool efforts. SBCCOG leveraged work on the South Bay Workforce Investment Board's Flexible Work Study to establish new contacts at 5 large South Bay employers and will conduct follow-up to assess vanpool interest. SBCCOG Staff continued follow up and outreach formation support with Enterprise contacts. SBCCOG staff reviewed and drafted opportunities and innovations to the existing Vanpool Scope of Work in support of proposed extension to the Metro MOU this Fall.

Contract goal: 30 outreach events; 2 presentations (This goal is dependent upon the availability of the mobile van). Status of goal: 294 community events and 14 presentations completed. In addition, 26 SBESC e-newsletter articles have been facilitated by SBCCOG staff. - GOAL MET

SBCCOG continues to send multiple invitations and notices to Metro staff about future South Bay MEL events that would be of value for the summer holiday season.

II. MARKETING, OUTREACH, & IMPLEMENTATION

Workshops, Trainings, & Exchanges
The following chart provides an overview of all registration events held in June 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Date</th>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>No. Attended/No. of RSVPs</th>
<th>Marketing Info. (how did they hear about the Workshop)</th>
<th>Staff Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/30/2018</td>
<td>California Friendly Landscape Training – Torrance</td>
<td>76/161</td>
<td>Email: 87; Flyer: 7; Friend or Family: 8; Local Publication: 6, Social Media: 3, Website: 23, Other: 27</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outreach Events

- 8 community events in June
- 2 presentations in June
- 1 SCE Seminar in June

Calendar year-to-date through June 2018:

- 32 community events
- 2 SCE Seminar 1 employee
- 1 employee event
- 3 business events
- 2 residential workshops
- 10 presentations
- 1 presentation

Media

Social Media

- **SBCCOG** -- Totals for Social Media (SBCCOG) *(top tweet – right)*
  - Twitter: 145 followers total, 943 impressions* month of June
  - Facebook: 69 likes total, 40 impressions month of June
- **SBESC** -- Totals for Social Media *(top tweet – right)*
  - Twitter: 529 followers total, 5,000 impressions* month of June
  - Facebook: 704 likes total, 1,536 impressions month of June
  - LinkedIn: 120 followers total, 66 impressions month of June

*Impressions: the number of times a post has been viewed

Press Releases/Press Interviews/Articles/Network TV

"South Bay Environmental Services Center to host a Volunteer Training & Recruitment event," June 4, 2018 sent to cities, chambers, and media

“West Basin Cash for Kitchen Program,” June 28, 2018 sent to cities and chambers

Earned Print Media/Online Media/Cable TV

“West Basin Cash for Kitchens Program,” Hawthorne Chamber of Commerce Weekly Newsletter June 6, 13, 20, and 17, 2018

“Recruitment: South Bay Environmental Services Center hosts a Volunteer Training and Recruitment event,” June 22, 2018

“Certify your green business in Hawthorne,” City of Hawthorne Recycle Newsletter, Summer 2018

Volunteer Program

*Status of Program:* 121.80 hours for June 2018; 2018 thus far = 652.35 hours

*Grand total* as of 6/30/18: 18,327.78 (starting April 2008)

Volunteer training was held on June 28th. 21 people attended.
South Bay Cities Council of Governments

July 9, 2018

TO: SBCCOG Steering Committee

FROM: SBCCOG Staff

SUBJECT: Follow-Up: Assessment of Internet Service Provider (ISP) provider for SBCCOG Office

Adherence to Strategic Plan:

Goal D: Organizational Stability. Be a high performing organization with a clear path to long-term financial health, staffing continuity, and sustained board commitment.

SUMMARY OF REQUEST

SBCCOG staff to assess options for a site evaluation from an ISP to determine viability of providing Direct Internet Access (DIA) to SBCCOG office.

ANALYSIS

SBCCOG staff has determined the 3 best alternative ISPs capable of providing DIA services with specs comparable to our current provider:

- Spectrum Enterprise - est. $480.00 per month
- MetTel - est. $1,251.42 per month
- CenturyLink - est. $868.54 per month

As indicated above, the most cost-effective competitor is Spectrum Enterprise, with an offer which is more than $500 lower than what the SBCCOG currently pays TelePacific per month for less bandwidth. The next step is to setup a site evaluation which requires the SBCCOG to sign a pseudo-service agreement. The agreement does not incur any upfront costs and simply establishes intent from SBCCOG to consider Spectrum Enterprise’s services should they be capable of providing them.

RECOMMENDATION

SBCCOG Board Chair to sign pseudo-service agreement with Spectrum Enterprise to coordinate site evaluation of SBCCOG office.

Prepared by Chandler Sheilds
FROM: SBCCOG Nominating Committee

RE: Commitment to Serve as an Officer

Dear ,

Thank you for agreeing to have your name placed in nomination as an officer of the South Bay Cities Council of Governments Board. As an officer you agree to:

- Regularly attend Board meetings
- Regularly attend the monthly Steering Committee meetings
- Make best efforts to attend other meetings of the SBCCOG such as the Legislative Briefings and the General Assembly.
- Be available to review and comment on issues that arise between Steering Committee meetings.
- Participate in special committees of the SBCCOG or Public Hearings/Meetings/Events as needed
- Sign the expectations agreement that is attached to this letter

The nominations to serve on the Board will be presented to the Board Members at the May 28 Board meeting. Nominations from the floor will also be accepted. The election will take place at the June 25 Board meeting. New officers will begin their one year term effective July 1.

Thank you again.

Sincerely,

, Chair
Expectations for Officers
South Bay Cities Council of Governments

This pledge is intended to acknowledge the shared responsibilities, commitment and conduct of officers of the Board of Directors of the South Bay Cities Council of Governments. This document is not meant to be a formal contract but rather a set of expectations set forth for all existing and future officers.

As an officer of the Board of Directors of South Bay Cities Council of Governments, I am fully committed and dedicated to the mission of South Bay Cities Council of Governments. I pledge to help South Bay Cities Council of Governments carry out this mission, consistent with the functions of the office of officer and board member as stated in South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ By-laws.

The role of officers
As an officer of South Bay Cities Council of Governments, I have both the opportunity and the obligation to appoint and evaluate the Executive Director, help establish strategic direction, set broad policy, and steward the assets, all in support of the organization’s stated mission. I commit to fulfilling these obligations with my time, talent and relationships.

The role of management
I understand that the Executive Director is responsible, under the board’s direction, for developing the long-range plan for board approval, devising acceptable short- and medium-term objectives in pursuit of that plan, and then managing the organization’s resources to meet those objectives.

Preparation
I will work diligently to understand the mission, purpose, goals and programs of the organization, and I will prepare for all board and committee meetings by reviewing all related documents and materials in advance.

Fiscal and program oversight
Together with my fellow board members and officers, I am responsible for the fiscal oversight of South Bay Cities Council of Governments. Whether I am a member of the organization’s finance or audit committees, I will know what our budget is and take an active part in reviewing and approving the budget and monitoring our performance against that budget. If I do not understand an element of South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ fiscal health, I will reach out to the appropriate board members, committees, or staff for clarification.

Active participation in board meetings
I will attend regularly scheduled Board and Steering Committee meetings, be available for consultation by phone and e-mail, and assist if possible where I can apply my special skills, perspective and experience. I will come prepared to discuss the issues and business at hand. If I miss three consecutive board or committee meetings without proper notice or am otherwise unable to fulfill my obligations as an officer, I will offer my resignation or request a leave of absence.

Active participation in major events
I will make my best effort to attend South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ major public events and will actively encourage support and attendance by my friends and colleagues as well.

Positively represent the organization
As an officer, I know that I am a de facto ambassador for the organization, and I will strive to represent South Bay Cities Council of Governments in the best possible ways. I will be never offer myself as an official spokesperson for the organization without proper authority from the board or Executive Director.

Financial support
I will work with relevant members of the board and staff to assist with fund raising for the Annual General Assembly. More particularly, I will help identify potential supporters, and I agree to be open to making a limited number of calls on potential supporters if requested.

Support the staff
I recognize the significant obligations of the staff in carrying out South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ work, and I will do my best not to interfere with the staff’s operating responsibilities. While I have the right to speak with staff members about matters of mutual interest, I understand that I do not have the right to direct the actions of staff members unless appropriately authorized to do so by the board or the Executive Director.

Uphold confidentiality and By-laws
I understand that the board must speak with one voice, and therefore I agree not to divulge board-level discussions to outsiders that are not of a public nature unless the board has adopted a different policy for a specific instance or issue. I have read and understand the organization’s by-laws, and I agree to operate in conformity with them.
South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ Commitment to its Officers and Board Members:

- As part of the organization’s execution of its mission, South Bay Cities Council of Governments makes the following commitment to its board members:
- We will provide you the opportunity for meaningful and rewarding service to our mission and our community.
- We will provide you in a timely way with the information you need to be an effective officer and board member.
- We will be diligent in making the best possible use of the assets you make available to us, whether those be assets of time, wisdom, experience or relationships.
- We will schedule meetings in advance, and we will start and end meetings on time, unless a majority of those present at the time elect otherwise.
- We will make every effort to keep our meetings brief, relevant, interesting and impactful.
- We will respond to the best of our ability to your questions and concerns.
- We will encourage your feedback and criticism.
- We will do our utmost to be an organization of which all of us can be proud.

Commitment
By signing this document, I am acknowledging that service as an officer implies a three-way commitment from board members individually, board members collectively and the organization as a whole. Even though this commitment letter is non-binding in a legal sense, I understand that the organization will rely on the collective commitments of its board members in formulating its plans and executing its strategy.

Signed: ___________________________ Date: ________________
[NAME & TITLE OF OFFICER]

Signed: ___________________________ Date: ________________
[Board Chair]

Signed: ___________________________ Date: ________________
DATE

FROM: SBCCOG Nominating Committee

RE: Commitment to Serve on the Steering Committee

Dear ????,

Thank you for agreeing to have your name placed in nomination as an at large member of the South Bay Cities Council of Governments Steering Committee. As a Steering Committee member, you agree to:

• Regularly attend the Steering Committee meetings
• Regularly attend Board meetings
• Make best efforts to attend other meetings of the SBCCOG such as the Legislative Briefings and the General Assembly.
• Be available to review and comment on issues that arise between Steering Committee meetings.
• Participate in special committees of the SBCCOG or Public Hearings/Meetings/Events as needed

The nominations to serve on the Steering Committee were presented to the Board Members at the May 28 Board meeting. Nominations from the floor will also be accepted. The election will take place at the June 25 Board meeting. Your first Steering Committee meeting will be July 13, 2015 at noon at the South Bay Cities Council of Governments’ office.

Thank you again.

Sincerely,

Britt Huff, SBCCOG Chair

I agree to serve on the Steering Committee and to the requirements as outlined in the letter above

Signature
TO:

FROM: SBCCOG Steering Committee

RE: Commitment to Represent the SBCCOG

Dear ,

Thank you for agreeing to represent the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) on the___________________________. By bringing the South Bay’s perspective to this committee, you are assuring that our interests are heard and addressed.

The SBCCOG has representation on the boards and committees with several regional agencies – SCAG, League of California Cities, Metro, the Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission and other ad hoc committees. Our representative to each of these agencies is expected to regularly attend the meetings of the group to which they have been appointed and to report back to the Board either in person or in writing. Additionally, the representative is expected to fulfill any specific participation requirements that the agency you have been appointed to might have. And finally, should the position of the SBCCOG conflict with your personal position or that of your city, you would be expected to represent the SBCCOG or notify the Executive Director that you cannot do so.

While we understand that reports to the Board for each regularly scheduled meeting are not always going to include action items, we still encourage our representatives to report on trends and upcoming issues of which our members should be aware. On the Boards/Committees that SBCCOG has more than one representative, we would request that you work with the other SBCCOG representatives to make sure that SBCCOG always has a representative in attendance at the agency’s meeting. In addition, it is critical that a report (oral or written) is prepared and delivered to the monthly SBCCOG Board meetings.

Also, the SBCCOG Board has established the following policy on attendance: Representatives who have missed 3 consecutive meetings of the board/committee that they have been appointed to may be removed by the Steering Committee at a regular meeting. Notice will be sent to the representative that this issue is on the agenda if they wish to come and explain their absences.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this appointment and please sign below acknowledging receipt and agreement to these policies and return to jacki@southbaycities.org
Thank you again.

Sincerely,

Britt Huff, Chair

I agree to represent the SBCCOG on the ___________________________ and to the requirements as outlined in the letter above.

SIGNATURE
SBCCOG Board of Directors’ Meeting  
Thursday, July 26, 2018 @ 6:00 pm  
SBCCOG Office  
20285 Western Avenue  
Torrance, California 90501

To assure a quorum, if you or your alternate representative cannot attend the meeting, please contact SBCCOG Executive Director Jacki Bacharach @ 310-377-8987.

PLEASE NOTE: YOU CAN ALSO FIND SBCCOG AGENDAS ON OUR WEB SITE - www.southbaycities.org

The Board of Directors, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Written materials distributed to the Board within 72 hours of the Board meeting are available for public inspection immediately upon distribution in the SBCCOG/SBESC office at 20285 Western Avenue, Torrance, CA90501, during normal business hours.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on SBCCOG related business that is within the jurisdiction of cities and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Comment portion of the meeting (Item #V). The time limit for comments is three (3) minutes per person. Before speaking to the Board, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if appropriate.

DRAFT AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER & SALUTE TO THE FLAG (6:00 PM)  
Britt Huff, Chair

II. INTRODUCTIONS

III. CONFIRM POSTING OF THE AGENDA BY TORRANCE CITY CLERK

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ANY CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

V. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC (6:05 pm)

VI. CONSENT CALENDAR (6:10 pm)  
Matters listed under Consent Calendar are considered routine and will be enacted by one motion and one vote. There will be no separate discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, that item will be removed from the Consent Calendar and considered separately.

A. June Board Meeting Minutes (attachment) – Approve

B. First Amendment to MOU with WRCOG re: Participation in HERO (attachment) – Approve

C. Measure R South Bay Highway Program (SBHP) Project Funding Agreement Extensions (attachment) - Approve

D. Civic Spark Service Agreement (attachment) - Approve

E. Status of Legislation of Interest to SBCCOG (attachments) – Approve

F. Monthly Reports – Receive and File  
   1. South Bay Environmental Services Center Report (attachment)  
   2. Transportation Report (attachment)
VII. PRESENTATIONS – (all prepared presentations will be posted @ www.southbaycities.org)
   A. Face of the Program (6:15 pm)
      1. Martha Segovia
   B. Emergency Management Update (6:20 pm)
      1. Jeff Robinson, Area G Coordinator
   C. Quarterly report on Energy Leader Partnership (6:40 pm)
      1. Greg Stevens, SBCCOG contract engineer

VIII. SBCCOG PROGRAM ACTION ITEMS, REPORTS AND UPDATES (6:50 pm)
   A. Senior Services Working Group
   B. Regional Broadband Network Initiative
   C. Homeless Services
   D. Short Term Rental Task Force
   E. Grant Opportunities – Solsmart, Green Business Network
   F. Special Events
      1. General Assembly planning
   G. Other

IX. TRANSPORTATION REPORTS
   A. Metro Report by Board member - James Butts/Steve Lantz (7:00 pm)
   B. Service Council report by Ralph Franklin, Chair (attachment) (7:05 pm)
   C. Transportation Committee Report - (7:10 pm)
      1. Measure R and Measure M Updates
      2. Update on Crenshaw/Green Line Operating Plan analysis

X. AGENCY REPORTS (7:25 pm)
   A. South Bay Workforce Investment Board (Chris Cagle)
   B. League of California Cities & LA Division Legislative Committee (Bea Dieringer & Jeffrey Kiernan)
   C. South Coast Air Quality Management District (Judy Mitchell, Joe Buscaino & Tina Cox)
   D. SCAG & Committees (attachment)
      1. Energy and Environment (Judy Mitchell, Jim Osborne)
      2. Transportation (Dan Medina, James Gazeley)
      3. Community, Economic, & Human Development (Frank Zerunyan & Mark Waronek)
      4. Regional Council (Judy Mitchell, Dan Medina, James Gazeley)
   E. Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission (Bill Brand/Jeff Duclos)
   F. Community Choice Aggregation (Christian Horvath)
   G. Stormwater Funding
      1. CCCA/LCC Stormwater Funding Options Committee (Milton Herring & Hany Fangary)
      2. County Water Resilience Working Group (Justin Massey)
   H. South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce (Olivia Valentine)
   I. South Bay Aerospace Alliance (Olivia Valentine/Rodney Tanaka)
   J. California Association of Councils of Governments - CALCOG (Britt Huff)

XI. UPCOMING EVENTS & ANNOUNCEMENTS

XII. AUGUST SBCCOG COMMITTEE MEETINGS & WORKING GROUPS

   Consult website for specific times and places for other meetings – www.southbaycities.org

XIII. ADJOURNMENT
South Bay Cities Council of Governments

July 9, 2018

TO: SBCCOG Steering Committee

FROM: Jacki Bacharach, SBCCOG Executive Director

RE: Bills to Monitor and for Action – Status as of July 7, 2018

Adherence to Strategic Plan:
Goal B: Regional Advocacy. Advocate for the interests of the South Bay

| ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES |  |  |
|----------------------------|  |  |
| AB 1250 (Jones-Sawyer) | Counties: contracts for personal services. Would allow a county or county agency to contract for personal services currently or customarily performed by employees, as applicable, when specified conditions are met. Among other things, would require the county to clearly demonstrate that the proposed contract will result in actual overall costs savings to the county and also to show that the contract does not cause the displacement of county workers. Would exempt certain types of contracts from its provisions, and would exempt a city and county from its provisions. | OPPOSE (5/8/17) (Ltr faxed to Asm Approps 5/9/17) | 9/5/17 Senate Rules Committee LCC opposition removed |

| ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT |  |  |
|----------------------|  |  |
| AB 427 (Muratsuchi) | California Aerospace and Aviation Commission: Would establish the California Aerospace and Aviation Commission consisting of 16 members, as specified, to serve as a central point of contact for businesses engaged in the aerospace and aviation industries and to support the health and competitiveness of these industries in California. Would require the commission to make recommendations on legislative and administrative action that may be necessary or helpful to maintain or improve the state’s aerospace and aviation industries and would require the commission to report and provide recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature, as specified. Would require the commission to have at least 2 offices within existing operations of | SUPPORT (2/12/18) (Ltr faxed to Sen Rules 3/19/18 & to Sen Bus, Prof & E.D. 5/7/18 & Sen Judiciary 6/6/18) | 6/21/18 Senate Appropriations Committee |
the state, as specified, and would require the operations of the commission to be supported through nonstate moneys. Would also require that funds received by the commission be deposited in the Aerospace and Aviation Account, which the bill would create in the California Economic Development Fund, to be used by the commission upon appropriation by the Legislature.

| SB 822 (Wiener) | Communications: broadband internet access service. **HEAVILY AMENDED—AUTHOR NOT SUPPORTIVE. AMENDED AGAIN.** Would revise the Consumers Legal Remedies Act to prohibit specified actions by an Internet service provider, as defined, that provides broadband Internet access service, as specified. | MONITOR | 6/27/18 Assembly Appropriations Committee |

| ENVIRONMENT | | |

| AB 987 (Kamlager-Dove) | California Environmental Quality Act: sports and entertainment project. Would establish specified administrative and judicial review procedures for the administrative and judicial review of the EIR and approvals granted for a project related to the development of a specified sports and entertainment project in the City of Inglewood meeting certain requirements. Because the lead agency would be required to use these alternative procedures for administrative review of the EIR if the project applicant so chooses, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would specify that the decisions of the lead agency regarding certain analyses and impacts shall not be considered as an abuse of discretion if certain conditions are met. This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the City of Inglewood. | RECOMMEND SUPPORT – request from the City of Inglewood | Senate Appropriations Committee Hearing Date: 8/6/18 |

| AB 1775 (Muratsuchi) | SB 834 (Jackson) | State lands: leasing: oil and gas. **AMENDED 4/18/18.** Would prohibit the State Lands Commission and the local trustees of granted public trust lands from entering into any new lease or other conveyance authorizing new construction of oil- and gas-related infrastructure upon tidelands and submerged lands within state waters associated with Outer Continental Shelf leases issued after January 1, 2018. Would apply the exceptions applicable to the California Coastal Sanctuary to these provisions. Would authorize the commission to establish guidelines for the implementation of these provisions. | SUPPORT (2/12/18) AB 1775 - (Ltrs to Asm Nat Res & Asm Approps & Sen Nat. Res & Water 6/12/18) SB 834 - (Ltr to Sen Nat Res & | Senate Appropriations Committee Hearing Date: 8/6/18 |

<p>| | | | SB 834 6/26/18 Assembly Appropriations Committee |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB 1133 (Portantino)</th>
<th>Water quality control plans: funding. Would authorize the State Water Resources Control Board, on behalf of itself or a regional board, to accept donations of moneys from a permittee for the purpose of updating a water quality control plan.</th>
<th>SUPPORT (5/24/18) (Ltr to ESTM Comm 5/31/18)</th>
<th>6/27/18 Assembly Appropriations Committee LA County LCC supports. As LA County considers a stormwater parcel tax for the November 2018 ballot, SB 1133 provides an opportunity to fund a crucial and timely update to the Basin Plan.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FINANCE</td>
<td>SCA 20 (Glazer)</td>
<td>Local Sales Taxes: Online Sales. Would change Bradley-Burns Local Sales Tax law: Online sales would be consummated at the place of delivery rather than place of sale on or after 1/1/2020.</td>
<td>MONITOR 5/22/18 Senate Appropriations Suspense File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOUSING</td>
<td>AB 1771 (Bloom)</td>
<td>Planning and zoning: regional housing needs assessment. Would revise the objectives required to be addressed in the regional housing needs allocation plan and additionally require the plan to include an objective to increase access to areas of high opportunity for lower-income residents, while avoiding displacement and affirmatively furthering fair housing. It requires greater analysis of the number of housing units available at different income levels. Would allow HCD and Housing Organizations greater oversight over the process.</td>
<td>MONITOR Senate Appropriations Committee Hearing Date: 8/6/18 LCC watch CALCOG oppose unless amended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AB 2890 (Ting)</td>
<td>Land use: accessory dwelling units. Would prohibit the imposition of lot coverage standards or requirements on minimum lot size, lot coverage, or floor area ratio, and would prohibit an ordinance from establishing size requirements for accessory dwelling units that do not permit at least an 800 square foot unit of at least 16 feet in height to be constructed. Would require local jurisdictions to consider permit applications for ADUs within 60 days of receipt instead of currently up to 120 days. Would also require that</td>
<td>OPPOSE (6/26/18) Senate Appropriations Committee Hearing Date: 8/6/18 LCC opposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Action(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB 3194 (Daly)</td>
<td>Housing Accountability Act: project approval. Would specify that a <em>proposed</em> housing development project is not inconsistent with the applicable zoning <em>standards and criteria</em>, and would prohibit a local government from requiring a rezoning, if the housing development project is consistent with the objective general plan standards and criteria but the zoning for the project site is inconsistent with the general plan. <em>Would authorize a local agency, provided that the local agency has complied with specified provisions, to require the proposed housing development project to comply with the objective standards and criteria of the zoning that are consistent with the general plan, and would require the local agency to apply those standards and criteria to facilitate and accommodate development at the density allowed on the site by the general plan and proposed by the proposed housing development project.</em> Would declare the Legislature’s intent that the conditions that would have a specific, adverse impact upon the public health and safety arise infrequently.</td>
<td>OPPOSE (6/26/18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 831 (Wieckowski w/ Atkins, Skinner &amp; Wiener)</td>
<td>Land Use: accessory dwelling units. AMENDED 6/21/18 Would require jurisdictions to designate, in their ADU ordinances, any areas where ADUs would be excluded because of certain health and safety concerns. Would delete the authority to include lot coverage standards. Would also prohibit jurisdictions from taking the square footage of the proposed ADU into account when determining the allowable FAR or lot coverage. In addition, a permit for the development of an ADU would be automatically approved if not considered within 60 days of its submittal. Would prohibit requirements to replace off-street parking that is lost due to the development of an ADU. Would also prohibit the use of any other local policy, ordinance, or regulation as a means to inhibit the development of ADUs. Would not only prohibit local ordinances from owner-occupancy conditions, but also make void any such</td>
<td>OPPOSE (6/26/18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4
existing requirements. Would also prohibit a jurisdiction from considering an ADU as a “new residential use,” for purposes of determining fees. Would prohibit a local agency from requiring that offstreet parking spaces be replaced when a garage, carport, or covered parking structure is demolished or converted in conjunction with the construction of an accessory dwelling unit. Would prohibit another local ordinance, policy, or regulation from being the basis for the delay of the issuance of a building permit or use permit for an accessory dwelling unit. Would delete provisions authorizing a local agency to require owner occupancy by the permit applicant and would declare an agreement with a local agency to maintain owner occupancy as void and unenforceable.

| SB 961 (Allen) | Enhanced infrastructure financing districts. Would create districts major transit stops in which an enhanced tax increment would be created from increased property and sales taxes within the district. The incremental revenues would be dedicated for specified types of investments within the district. Would require the Office of Planning and Research, on or before January 1, 2021, to complete a study on the effectiveness of tax increment financing tools for increasing housing production, including a comparison of the relative advantages and disadvantages of infrastructure financing districts, enhanced infrastructure financing districts, affordable housing authorities, use of the Neighborhood Infill Finance and Transit Improvements Act, and use of the Second Neighborhood Infill Finance and Transit Improvements Act, as specified. | MONITOR 6/27/18 Assembly Appropriations Committee |

**PUBLIC SAFETY**

| SB 10 (Hertzberg) | Bail: pretrial release. Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would safely reduce the number of people detained pretrial, while addressing racial & economic disparities in the pretrial system, & to ensure that people are not held in pretrial detention simply because of their inability to afford money bail. | OPPOSE (6/26/18) 9/6/17 Assembly Appropriations Committee |

**TRANSPORTATION**

<p>| AB 2989 (Flora) | Motorized scooter: use of helmet: maximum speed. Would require the operator of a motorized scooter to wear a helmet only if the operator is less than 18 years of age. | MONITOR 7/5/18 Senate 2nd Reading |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bill</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>sponsor</th>
<th>committee</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 1151 (Bates)</td>
<td>Neighborhood Electric Vehicles. County of San Diego. Would authorize the County of San Diego, and any city therein, to establish Neighborhood Electric Vehicle (NEV) Transportation Plans to serve the mobility needs of their communities and further the region’s vision of supporting a sustainable and healthy region, vibrant economy, and outstanding quality of life for all.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR</td>
<td>6/26/18 Assembly Appropriations Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR 1958 (Sherman) &amp; S. 838 (Cotton)</td>
<td>PACE Act of 2017 – Protecting Americans from Credit Entanglements Act of 2017 – Amends the Truth in Lending Act to extend that Act's requirements to real property retrofit loans. A &quot;real property retrofit loan&quot; is a loan that is: (1) secured by an interest in real property; (2) repayable in installment payments made concurrently with the payment of property taxes on the real property; and (3) used to finance real property retrofits, including energy-efficiency upgrades, renewable-energy installations, seismic retrofits, water-conservation upgrades, and other similar improvements. At the time of application for a real property retrofit loan, the creditor must disclose that the loan will result in a lien on the real property securing the loan.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR</td>
<td>4/5/17 HR 1958: House Comm. on Financial Services 5/11/17 S. 838 Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs @ request of S.B. Board of Realtors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR 5045 (Barragan)</td>
<td>STOP (Services, Tools and Opportunities to Prevent) Homelessness Act of 2018 – Would create a voluntary check-off donation box on tax returns to fund housing and support services. Every dollar would be matched 1:1 by the federal government.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MONITOR</td>
<td>2/15/18 House Ways and Means Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 9, 2018

Britt Huff, Chairperson
Board of Directors
South Bay Cities Council of Governments
20285 Western Avenue, Suite 100
Torrance, California 90501

Re: Request for a Letter of Support for Assembly Bill 987

Dear Madam Chair and Fellow Board Members:

As you are aware, the City of Inglewood is in exclusive negotiations with the Los Angeles Clippers Basketball Team to build a privately financed arena, practice facility and office complex. The site for this proposed project is located on the south side of Century Boulevard at Prairie Avenue. The land for this project is currently vacant and in possession of the City of Inglewood. The land is in the LAX landing path and was acquired by the city through a settlement with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) over 25 years ago which does not allow housing. As you might imagine, the City of Inglewood would like nothing more than to see a viable revenue producing use for this parcel.

The City of Inglewood is requesting the support of the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) in our effort to develop this project. Specifically, we are asking for a letter of support from the SBCCOG for AB 987 (Kamlager-Dove) which will provide some certainty in the CEQA process. To be clear, AB 987 will not provide any exemptions to CEQA but will establish timelines for the legal proceedings. The CEQA streamlining provisions in AB 987 have been made available to several California cities building sports facilities in recent years including, Sacramento (NBA Kings), San Francisco (NFL 49ers) and NFL stadiums in both Los Angeles (Farmer’s Field) and the City of Industry. We are not seeking anything more but parity with the same accepted standard in recent legislation.
In addition to the recently renovated Fabulous Forum, rebuilt Hollywood Park Casino, along with the NFL Rams/Chargers stadium now under construction, we believe this arena will provide greater economic vitality to the entire South Bay Region. We have already seen an increase in hotel stays and restaurant traffic due to recent activity at the Madison Square Garden’s Fabulous Forum. Based on these indicators, we anticipate even more business to the surrounding communities when our other venues open their doors for business.

With the current improvements being made to Century Blvd and the extension of the Crenshaw light rail line from downtown Los Angeles through Inglewood, ingress and egress to LAX will be much more efficient. These projects are all currently under construction. In addition, as you know, METRO is planning to extend service from the Crenshaw/LAX light rail to the City of Torrance and currently doing a study on the First Mile/Last Mile above grade monorail system in Inglewood. The vision is to make the flow of traffic through the South Bay Region more viable which will alleviate traffic congestion and reduce the carbon footprint.

With the much anticipated increase in tourism coming to the South Bay Region, sales tax will dramatically increase the revenue stream for our cities. In addition, with your support of AB 987, we will have more permanent fulltime employment opportunities in the region which will reduce the unemployment rate in our communities.

Along with this letter, I have included several documents for your review which include Assembly Bill 987 introduced by Assembly Member Sydney Kamlager-Dove and co-authored by Senator Steve Bradford; an Informational Fact Sheet on AB 987 and the Clippers Inglewood Arena Project Economic and Community Benefits Summary.

SBCCOG Board Members, I welcome your support letter as the City of Inglewood moves forward with the State legislators in Sacramento to put AB 987 into law. I thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ralph L. Franklin
Council Member, City of Inglewood
Delegate, South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Enclosures
AB-987 California Environmental Quality Act: sports and entertainment project. (2017-2018)

Date Published: 06/07/2018 09:00 PM

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 07, 2018
AMENDED IN SENATE SEPTEMBER 08, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2017-2018 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL No. 987

Introduced by Assembly Member Calderon Kamlager-Dove
(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Cristina Garcia)(Principal coauthor: Senator Hernandez)(Principal coauthor: Senator Bradford)

February 16, 2017

An act to amend Section 79786 of the Water Code, relating to the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014. An act to add and repeal Section 2168.6.8 of the Public Resources Code, relating to environmental quality.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL’S DIGEST


(1) The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA establishes administrative procedures for the review and certification of the EIR for a project and judicial review procedures for any action or proceeding brought to challenge the lead agency’s decision to certify the EIR or to grant project approvals.

This bill would establish specified administrative and judicial review procedures for the administrative and judicial review of the EIR and approvals granted for a project related to the development of a specified sports and entertainment project in the City of Inglewood meeting certain requirements. Because the lead agency would be required to use these alternative procedures for administrative review of the EIR if the project applicant so chooses, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would specify that the decisions of the lead agency regarding certain analyses and impacts shall not be considered as an abuse of discretion if certain conditions are met.
(2) This bill would make legislative findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the City of Ingiewood.

(3) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Existing law, the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014, approved by the voters as Proposition 1 at the November 4, 2014, statewide general election, authorizes the issuance of general obligation bonds in the amount of $7,545,939,939 to finance a water quality, supply, and infrastructure improvement program. Proposition 1 authorizes the sum of $390,939,939 to be available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for expenditures on, and competitive grants and loans for, projects to prevent or clean up the contamination of groundwater that serves or has served as a source of drinking water. Proposition 1 further specifies that $390,939,939 of those moneys may be used for grants for treatment and remediation activities that prevent or reduce contamination of groundwater that serves or has served as a source of drinking water, and that $190,939,939 of those moneys may be used for grants for the development and implementation of groundwater plans and projects in accordance with specified requirements.

Existing law, the State General Obligation Bond Law (GO Bond Law), requires that the proceeds from the sale of any bonds issued pursuant to a bond act that incorporates the provisions of the GO Bond Law be used for specified purposes, including the costs of construction or acquisition of capital assets. Proposition 1 generally requires that any bonds prepared, executed, issued, sold, paid, and redeemed comply with the requirements of the GO Bond Law, except Proposition 1 provides that the capital asset requirement does not apply to bonds issued pursuant to that act to the extent that Proposition 1 authorizes bonds to be sold for a purpose that conflicts with the capital asset requirement.

This bill would clarify that a conflict exists for purposes of that exception if any provision of this division authorizes, either expressly or by necessary implication, a project or program that would not result in the creation of a capital asset, including projects described above relating to prevention, cleanup, treatment, or remediation of contaminated groundwater, as well as to the development and implementation of groundwater plans and projects.

Vote: majority Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: yes Local Program: nayes

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that with the adoption of Chapter 728 of the Statutes of 2008, popularly known as the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, the Legislature signaled its commitment to encouraging land use and transportation planning decisions and investments that reduce vehicle miles traveled and contribute to the reductions in greenhouse gas emissions required in the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code). Similarly, the California Complete Streets Act of 2008 (Chapter 657 of the Statutes of 2008) requires local governments to plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users of streets, roads, and highways for safe and convenient travel.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to do both of the following:

(1) Ensure that the environmental impacts of traffic, such as noise, air pollution, and safety concerns, continue to be properly addressed and mitigated through the California Environmental Quality Act.

(2) More appropriately balance the needs of congestion management with statewide goals related to infill development, the promotion of public health through active transportation, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

SEC. 2. The Legislature further finds and declares all of the following:

(a) The California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) requires that the environmental impacts of development projects be identified and mitigated. The act also guarantees the public an opportunity to review and comment on the environmental impacts of a project and to participate meaningfully in the development of mitigation measures for potentially significant environmental impacts.

(b) The City of Ingiewood has embarked on a project that will incorporate sustainable design elements in a new mixed-use development that integrates housing, civic, entertainment, and retail amenities to help reduce vehicle
miles traveled resulting from discretionary automobile trips; promotes alternative means of transportation including provisions for nonvehicular travel; encourages higher-density development along established transit corridors; and contributes to air quality improvement through the creation of shade to reduce ambient heat produced by paved surfaces by integrating an urban forest concept into the overall landscape design.

(c) The sports and entertainment project will result in the construction of a new state-of-the-art multipurpose event center and surrounding infill development in the City of Inglewood, a development previously planned for commercial uses, as described in the City of Champions Revitalization Initiative approved by the City of Inglewood on February 24, 2015, and the agreement entered into by the City of Inglewood with Murphy's Bowl LLC on June 15, 2017, as amended August 15, 2017.

(d) The project will generate over 30,000 full-time jobs including employees hired both during the construction and operation of the sports and entertainment project. This employment estimate does not include the substantial job generation that will occur with the surrounding development uses, which will generate additional hospitality, office, restaurant, and retail jobs in the City of Inglewood’s downtown area.

(e) The project also presents an unprecedented opportunity to implement innovative measures that will significantly reduce traffic and air quality impacts and mitigate the greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the project. The project site is located in downtown Inglewood and situated to maximize opportunities to encourage nonautomobile modes of travel to the sports and entertainment center project, and is consistent with the policies and regional vision included in the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008 pursuant to Chapter 728 of the Statutes of 2008.

(f) It is in the interest of the state to expedite judicial review of this sports and entertainment project, as appropriate, while protecting the environment and the rights of the public to review, comment on, and, if necessary, seek judicial review of, the adequacy of an environmental impact report for the project.

SEC. 3. Section 21168.6.8 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

21168.6.8. (a) For the purposes of this section, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) “Applicant” means a private or public entity or its affiliates that proposes to implement and operate all or any portion of the project and its successors, heirs, and assigns.

(2) “Arena” means an 18,000 to 20,000 seat arena built pursuant to the project for National Basketball Association (NBA) basketball games and other spectator events.

(3) “Project” means a project located within the City of Inglewood consisting of either of the following:

(A) The arena plus practice and athletic training facility, and related parking infrastructure construction or relocation, and landscaping, up to approximately 75,000 square feet of associated office space, up to approximately 30,000 square feet of sports medicine clinic space, up to 55,000 square feet of ancillary retail, restaurant, community space, and similar uses, and a hotel, provided that the arena meets both of the following:

(i) Receives Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold certification, or its equivalent, for new construction within one year of the completion of the first NBA season.

(ii) Achieves, through either project design, including those design features implemented for LEED gold certification, or the implementation of feasible mitigation measures, or both design features and mitigation measures, a reduction in the arena's operational emissions of greenhouse gases, as defined in subdivision (g) of Section 38505 of the Health and Safety Code, of no less than 20 percent as compared to the operational emissions that would otherwise result from an arena built in compliance with applicable building codes in the absence of the project design features or mitigation measures that are not required under the applicable building codes.

(B) Approvals related to any land uses that are consistent with the general plan, existing as of January 1, 2019, within the boundary of the Inglewood Sports and Entertainment project area.

(4) “Project approval” means any actions, activities, ordinances, resolutions, agreements, approvals, determinations, findings, or decisions taken, adopted, or approved by the lead agency required to allow the applicant to commence the construction of the project, as determined by the lead agency.

(5) “Inglewood Sports and Entertainment project area” means an approximately one square mile area located within the City of Inglewood consisting of both of the following areas:
(A) Real property consisting of approximately 298 acres that is located within the boundaries of the Hollywood Park Specific Plan, as of July 1, 2017.

(B) Real property consisting of approximately 59 acres, beginning at a point located 540 feet west of the intersection of the centerline of South Prairie Avenue and the northern boundary of West Century Boulevard, then southerly along a straight line to the southern boundary of West 102nd Street, then easterly along West 102nd Street to the western boundary of South Prairie Avenue, then southerly along the western boundary of South Prairie Avenue to the southern boundary of West 103rd Street, then easterly along a straight line to the eastern boundary of South Doty Avenue, then northerly along Doty Avenue to the southern boundary of West 102nd Street, then easterly along West 102nd Street to the eastern boundary of Yukon Avenue, then northerly along Yukon Avenue to the northern boundary of West Century Boulevard, then westerly along West Century Boulevard to the point located 540 feet west of the intersection of the centerline of South Prairie Avenue and the northern boundary of West Century Boulevard.

(b) Notwithstanding any other law, the procedures set forth in subdivision (c) shall apply to any action or proceeding brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification of any environmental impact report for the project or the granting of any project approvals.

(c) Rules 3.2220 to 3.2237, inclusive, of the California Rules of Court, as may be amended by the Judicial Council, shall apply to any action or proceeding brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification of any environmental impact report for the project or granting of any project approvals to require the actions or proceeding, including any potential appeals therefrom, to be resolved, to the extent feasible, within 270 days of the certification of the record of proceedings pursuant to subdivision (e). On or before July 1, 2019, the Judicial Council shall amend the California Rules of Court, as necessary, to implement this subdivision.

(d) (1) The draft and final environmental impact report shall include a notice in not less than 12-point type stating the following:

THIS EIR IS SUBJECT TO SECTION 21168.6.8 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE, WHICH PROVIDES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT THE LEAD AGENCY NEED NOT CONSIDER CERTAIN COMMENTS FILED AFTER THE CLOSE OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE DRAFT EIR. ANY JUDICIAL ACTION CHALLENGING THE CERTIFICATION OF THE EIR OR THE APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT DESCRIBED IN THE EIR IS SUBJECT TO THE PROCEDURES AND LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 21168.6.8 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE. A COPY OF SECTION 21168.6.8 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE IS INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX TO THIS EIR.

(2) The draft environmental impact report and final environmental impact report shall contain, as an appendix, the full text of this section.

(3) Within 10 days after the release of the draft environmental impact report, the lead agency shall conduct an informational workshop to inform the public of the key analyses and conclusions of that report.

(4) Within 10 days before the close of the public comment period, the lead agency shall hold a public hearing to receive testimony on the draft environmental impact report. A transcript of the hearing shall be included as an appendix to the final environmental impact report.

(5) (A) Within five days following the close of the public comment period, a commenter on the draft environmental impact report may submit to the lead agency a written request for nonbinding mediation. The lead agency and applicant shall participate in nonbinding mediation with all commenters who submitted timely comments on the draft environmental impact report and who requested the mediation. Mediation conducted pursuant to this paragraph shall end no later than 35 days after the close of the public comment period.

(B) A request for mediation shall identify all areas of dispute raised in the comment submitted by the commenter that are to be mediated.

(C) The lead agency shall select one or more mediators who shall be retired judges or recognized experts with at least five years' experience in land use and environmental law or science, or mediation. The applicant shall bear the costs of mediation.

(D) A mediation session shall be conducted on each area of dispute with the parties requesting mediation on that area of dispute.

(E) The lead agency shall adopt, as a condition of approval, any measures agreed upon by the lead agency, the applicant, and any commenter who requested mediation. A commenter who agrees to a measure pursuant to this
subparagraph shall not raise the issue addressed by that measure as a basis for an action or proceeding challenging the lead agency’s decision to certify the environmental impact report or to grant one or more project approvals.

(6) The lead agency need not consider written comments submitted after the close of the public comment period, unless those comments address any of the following:

(A) New issues raised in the response to comments by the lead agency.

(B) New information released by the public agency subsequent to the release of the draft environmental impact report, such as new information set forth or embodied in a staff report, proposed permit, proposed resolution, ordinance, or similar documents.

(C) Changes made to the project after the close of the public comment period.

(D) Proposed conditions for approval, mitigation measures, or proposed findings required by Section 21081 or a proposed reporting and monitoring program required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 21081.6, where the lead agency releases those documents subsequent to the release of the draft environmental impact report.

(E) New information that was not reasonably known and could not have been reasonably known during the public comment period.

(7) The lead agency shall file the notice required by subdivision (a) of Section 21152 within five days after the first discretionary project approval.

(e)(1) The lead agency shall prepare and certify the record of the proceedings in accordance with this subdivision and in accordance with Rule 3.1365 of the California Rules of Court. The applicant shall pay the lead agency for all costs of preparing and certifying the record of proceedings.

(2) No later than three business days following the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report, the lead agency shall make available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format the draft environmental impact report and all other documents submitted to or relied on by the lead agency in the preparation of the draft environmental impact report. A document prepared by the lead agency or submitted by the applicant after the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report that is a part of the record of the proceedings shall be made available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format within five business days after the document is prepared or received by the lead agency.

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), documents submitted to or relied on by the lead agency that were not prepared specifically for the project and are copyright protected are not required to be made readily accessible in an electronic format. For those copyright protected documents, the lead agency shall make an index of these documents available in an electronic format no later than the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report, or within five business days if the document is received or relied on by the lead agency after the release of the draft environmental impact report. The index must specify the libraries or lead agency offices in which hardcopies of the copyrighted materials are available for public review.

(4) The lead agency shall encourage written comments on the project to be submitted in a readily accessible electronic format, and shall make any such comment available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format within five days of its receipt.

(5) Within 14 business days after the receipt of any comment that is not in an electronic format, the lead agency shall convert that comment into a readily accessible electronic format and make it available to the public in that format.

(6) The lead agency shall indicate in the record of the proceedings comments received that were not considered by the lead agency pursuant to paragraph (6) of subdivision (e) and need not include the content of the comments as a part of the record.

(7) Within five days after the filing of the notice required by subdivision (a) of Section 21152, the lead agency shall certify the record of the proceedings for the approval or determination and shall provide an electronic copy of the record to a party that has submitted a written request for a copy. The lead agency may charge and collect a reasonable fee from a party requesting a copy of the record for the electronic copy, which shall not exceed the reasonable cost of reproducing that copy.

(8) Within 10 days after being served with a complaint or a petition for a writ of mandate, the lead agency shall lodge a copy of the certified record of proceedings with the superior court.
(9) Any dispute over the content of the record of the proceedings shall be resolved by the superior court. Unless the superior court directs otherwise, a party disputing the content of the record shall file a motion to augment the record at the time it files its initial brief.

(10) The contents of the record of proceedings shall be as set forth in subdivision (e) of Section 21167.6.

(f) (1) The model, methodology, quantitative or qualitative analysis, performance standards, or thresholds of significance used in the analysis of greenhouse gas emissions impacts or the determination as to the significance of those impacts in the environmental impact report for the project shall not be grounds for relief and shall not be deemed an abuse of discretion in an action or proceeding brought pursuant to this division if both of the following are met:

(A) The greenhouse gas emissions impact analysis concludes that the project is consistent, on balance, with the 2016-40 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy: A Plan for Mobility, Accessibility, Sustainability and a High Quality of Life adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments in April, 2016.

(B) The greenhouse gas emissions impact analysis includes a comparison of projected greenhouse gas emissions against a baseline for the first year in which the project is anticipated to operate and a discussion of the project's consistency with the target for longer term emissions through 2050, as set forth in the Governor's Executive Order No. S-3-05.

(2) The model, methodology, quantitative or qualitative analysis, performance standards, or thresholds of significance used in the analysis of vehicle miles traveled impacts or the determination as to the significance of those impacts in the environmental impact report for the project shall not be grounds for relief and shall not be deemed an abuse of discretion in an action or proceeding brought pursuant to this division if the analysis is consistent with proposed Section 15064.3 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, as published on January 26, 2018.

(g) (1) As a condition of approval of the project subject to this section, the lead agency shall require the applicant, with respect to any measures specific to the operation of the arena, to implement those measures that will meet the requirements of this division by the end of the first NBA regular season or June of the first NBA regular season, whichever is later, during which an NBA team has played at the arena.

(2) To maximize public health, environmental, and employment benefits, the lead agency shall place the highest priority on feasible measures that will reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases in the Inglewood Sports and Entertainment project area and in the neighboring communities of the arena. Mitigation measures that shall be considered and implemented, if feasible and necessary, to achieve the requirement of clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) according to the following order of priority including, but not limited to:

(A) Project design features or onsite reduction measures or both design features and onsite reduction measures, including any of the following:

(i) Implementing project design features that enable the arena to exceed the building energy efficiency standards set forth in Part 6 of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

(ii) Requiring a transportation management plan or transportation demand management program, or both, to reduce single-occupancy vehicular travel and vehicle miles traveled.

(iii) Using energy produced by renewable resources.

(iv) Providing onsite electric vehicle charging stations in excess of applicable requirements.

(v) Providing dedicated parking for car-share or zero-emission vehicles, or for both types of vehicles in excess of applicable requirements.

(vi) Providing bicycle parking in excess of applicable requirements.

(vii) Providing onsite safety or other improvements for bicycles, pedestrians, and transit connections.

(viii) Providing onsite renewable energy generation.

(ix) Providing solar-ready roofs.

(x) Providing cool roofs and "cool parking" promoting cool surface treatment for new parking facilities.

(B) Off-site reduction measures, including any of the following:
(i) Temporarily expanding the capacity of a public transit line, as appropriate, to serve arena events.

(ii) Providing private charter buses or other similar services, as needed, to serve arena events.

(iii) Paying its fair share of the cost of measures that expand the capacity of public transit, if appropriate, that is used by spectators attending arena events.

(iv) Providing funding to an off-site mitigation project to generate carbon credits that potentially could consist of replacing buses, trolleys, or other transit vehicles with low-emission or zero-emission vehicles.

(v) Providing off-site safety or other improvements for bicycles, pedestrians, and transit connections.

(C) Offset credits shall be employed by the applicant only after feasible onsite or local emission reduction measures have been implemented. The applicant shall, to the extent feasible, place the highest priority on the purchase of offset credits that produce emission reductions within the City of Inglewood or the boundaries of the South Coast Air Quality Management District.

(h) (1) In granting relief in an action or proceeding brought pursuant to this section, the court shall not stay or enjoin the construction or operation of the project unless the court finds either of the following:

(A) The continued construction or operation of the project presents an imminent threat to the public health and safety.

(B) The project site contains unforeseen important Native American artifacts or unforeseen important historical, archaeological, or ecological values that would be materially, permanently, and adversely affected by the continued construction or operation of the project unless the court stays or enjoins the construction or operation of the project.

(2) If the court finds that subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1) is satisfied, the court shall only enjoin those specific activities associated with the project that present an imminent threat to public health and safety or that materially, permanently, and adversely affect unforeseen important Native American artifacts or unforeseen important historical, archaeological, or ecological values.

(i) The provisions of this section are severable. If any provision of this section or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

(j) (1) If an environmental impact report is prepared that includes both projects listed in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) and the applicant fails to notify the lead agency prior to the release of the draft environmental impact report for public comment that the applicant is electing to proceed pursuant to this section, this section shall not apply to the project and shall become inoperative on the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report and is repealed on January 1 of the following year.

(2) If an environmental impact report is prepared that includes only one of the projects listed in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) and the applicant for the project included in the environmental impact report fails to notify the lead agency prior to the release of the draft environmental impact report for public comment that the applicant is electing to proceed pursuant to this section, this section shall become inoperative only as to the project listed in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) included in that environmental impact report, and shall remain operative as to the remaining projects. If separate environmental impact reports for the projects listed in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) are prepared and the applicants for both projects fail to notify the lead agency as described in this paragraph, this section shall become inoperative on the date of the release of the second draft environmental impact report and is repealed on January 1 of the following year.

(3) The lead agency shall notify the Secretary of State if the applicant pursuant to paragraph (1) or the applicants for both projects pursuant to paragraph (2) fail to notify the lead agency of their election to proceed pursuant to this section.

SEC. 4. The Legislature finds and declares that a special statute is necessary and that a general statute cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique circumstances of the construction of a major new sports venue in the City of Inglewood, a city with the largest minority population in the United States, which will provide essential economic stimulus.

SEC. 5. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or
assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.

SECTION 1. Section 79786 of the Water Code is amended to read:

79786. (a) The bonds authorized by this division shall be prepared, executed, issued, sold, paid, and redeemed as provided in the State General Obligation Bond Law (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 16720) of Part 3 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code), and all of the provisions of that law, as that law may be amended, apply to the bonds and to this division, except that the capital asset requirement in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 16727 of the Government Code does not apply to the extent that those subdivisions conflict with any other provision of this division.

(b) A conflict exists for purposes of subdivision (a) if any provision of this division authorizes, either expressly or by necessary implication, a project or program that would not result in the creation of a capital asset, including projects or programs to prevent or clean up the contamination of groundwater that serves or has served as a source of drinking water described in Chapter 10 (commencing with section 79770), as well as projects or programs described in Section 79775. In addition, projects or programs described in Section 79772 are in conflict with the capital asset requirement described in subdivision (a), and therefore the capital asset requirement does not apply to those projects or programs.

SEC. 2. The amendment of Section 79786 of the Water Code made by this act does not constitute a change in, but is declaratory of, existing law. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that the amendments made by this act apply retroactively to any bonds issued and sold before the operative date of this act.
FACT SHEET
AB 987 (KAMLAGER-DOVE)

The Los Angeles Clippers NBA basketball team has expressed a desire to move to the City of Inglewood and construct a state of the art basketball arena adjacent to the new location for the NFL Los Angeles Rams Inglewood football stadium. AB 987 seeks to provide to the new Clippers arena CEQA streamlining relief equivalent to what was granted to the new Sacramento Kings arena and the new Golden State Warriors arena in San Francisco.

Specifically, AB 987 would do the following:

- Provide that the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) conducted for the new Clippers Arena Project would be subject to a limited period of 270 days for judicial review of any challenge to the EIR, similar to the limited review period granted to both the Sacramento Kings arena and the Golden State Warriors arena.
  
  - To qualify for the limited judicial review period (270 days), the project, as demonstrated in the EIR, would need to meet a variety of environmental safeguard conditions, including
  
  - The arena must be constructed to meet Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold certification.
  
  - The arena would be required to achieve, through both design and mitigation measures, a reduction in the arena’s operational emissions of greenhouse gases of no less than 20 percent, when compared to the arena if it were built in compliance ONLY with applicable building codes, but without additional design and mitigation measures required by this bill.
  
  - The Environmental Impact Report (EIR) required for the arena would be a FULL and COMPREHENSIVE EIR. Only the methodology used in the EIR would be protected from challenge, provided the greenhouse gas emissions analysis in the EIR concludes that the arena project is consistent with the Southern California Association of Governments 2016 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy AND the analysis includes a discussion of the project’s consistency with the target for longer-term emissions for 2050, as contained in Governor’s Executive Order No. S-3-05.
  
  - In order for the methodology used in the EIR’s analysis of the environmental impact of vehicle miles traveled to be protected, the analysis would be required to be consistent with the proposed new CEQA Guidelines published on January 26, 2018.
  
- AB 987 also establishes a prioritization for the arena greenhouse gas mitigation measures to ensure that the local region benefits from the mitigation measures. That priority is in the following order:
  
  - On-site greenhouse gas reductions
  - Off-site LOCAL greenhouse gas reductions
  - Use of off-site carbon credits

- AB 987 also requires specific notice to the community and public that the EIR qualifies for expedited judicial review.
Clippers Inglewood Arena Project
Economic and Community Benefits

The City of Inglewood and the Los Angeles Clippers NBA basketball team have entered into a three-year Exclusive Negotiating Agreement to build a new, state of the art sports arena, creating thousands of jobs and generating millions of dollars in new tax revenues to help improve Inglewood City services and community programs. As proposed, the world class arena complex will be constructed adjacent to or near the premier stadium development project already underway in the city of Inglewood, which will soon be home to the Los Angeles Rams and the Los Angeles Chargers NFL teams. Adding the new basketball arena to this development is a once in a generation opportunity to transform the Inglewood community and reclaim its role as the “City of Champions.”

Job Creation

- The Arena project will create an estimated 10,000 construction jobs in the City of Inglewood and surrounding region.
- Ongoing Arena operations will also add more than 1,000 permanent jobs.
- The Exclusive Negotiating Agreement with the City of Inglewood also expresses a “local hire” component. The goal is to fill approximately 30% of the available construction jobs and 35% of the available arena operation jobs with Inglewood residents and residents of nearby cities.

Economic Benefits

- Construction and operation of the arena will generate much needed revenue for the City of Inglewood and surrounding cities. The economic benefit is estimated to be tens of millions of dollars and will support a number of important city services, including:
  - Schools
  - Parks
  - Libraries
  - Police and fire services
- The Arena project will be built entirely with private funds. It will NOT require the use of any public funds.

Community Benefits

- The proposed arena site will convert what is mostly vacant, unused land under the flight path of LAX aircraft and turn it into productive community uses, with benefits for the residents of Inglewood and surrounding cities. The site is zoned for commercial use only and is not compatible for residential use. Most of the parcels in the project site were acquired by the city through federal aviation administration noise mitigation grants, which preclude use of those parcels for residential purposes. The LA Clippers Arena project is the best use of this land.
- The Arena project will also further the development approach of the new NFL stadium, by providing:
  - Community basketball courts
  - Community center location
  - Open air plazas
  - Sports medicine clinic
  - Retail and dining locations
- The Arena project will be built using state-of-the-art environmentally friendly technology, providing environmental benefits to the region, including reduced greenhouse gas emissions.
EXCERPTED FROM TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE JULY UPDATE

TRENDS IN SHARED MOBILITY

Cities Are Struggling To Manage Public Space During The Shared-Mobility Tsunami
With billions of dollars in start-up investment capital and aggressive marketing /
expansion strategies, start up shared mobility companies are deploying their dockless
fleets throughout unprepared cities across the globe.

In response to the 2018 Shared Mobility tsunami of scooters and bikes, Santa Monica
and other Southern California cities have adopted, and most South Bay cities are
considering, emergency interim ordinances and permitting regulations to restrict and
regulate shared mobility devices. For example, the Santa Monica City Council Item is
available at:
142&MediaPosition=&ID=3006&CssClass=

Buried in the details of the Santa Monica Council item is a new commitment by the
city to control its sidewalks and curbs which are quickly becoming contested urban
real estate. Santa Monica wants these mobility startups to be better partners, since city
officials have embraced scooters as part of its overall transportation plan. The plan
notes that scooters can help the city reduce congestion and emissions. A Bird
spokesman noted that since the company launched nine months ago, riders have taken
577,930 rides. If just half of those Bird rides replaced a one-mile car trip, then
according EPA data, Santa Monica riders have saved 257,372 pounds of carbon
emissions.

Cities are beginning to grasp the potential future of urban transportation which will
need to accommodate a greater of share of trips being made via shared mobility
services. Scooters and dockless bikes are competing for the curb space with
ridehailing, transit, liveable/complete streets, parking and deliveries. Cities are
struggling to maintain travel speeds, person throughput and capacity while safely
operating their public spaces. The new statewide environmental planning focus on
reducing vehicle miles travelled, rather than reducing vehicle delays and intersection
congestion, has opened the floodgates of public policy discourse on the future use and
regulation of public streets, curbs and sidewalks.

By regulating how the new transportation options will use public rights of way, cities
can assert their public authority to bring about a more sustainable, multimodal, and
less car-centric mobility future by better balancing public use of the contested space
where companies, citizens, and the government are jockeying for space for transportation, commerce, and delivery.

Most of the shared mobility companies have introduced their services without permission from the city. Their business models don’t include the cost of public fees or permits to pay for street maintenance, enforce safety rules, or share the ridership data that cities could use to plan better and more efficient transportation systems. As a result, cities are paying for and providing the public infrastructure—roads, sidewalks, and curbs and underpinning this private gain.

But with the widespread adoption of mobile technology and GPS, cities and the private sector operators have an opportunity to implement innovative management strategies like a “self-adjusting curb” with new laws and safety regulations. These concepts, referred to as “flex-zones” by the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) or Shared-Use Mobility Zones by the Eno Transportation Foundation, envision cities using rules and technology to give different transit options priority.

Washington, D.C., launched a successful trial in 2017 to regulate pick-up and drop-offs around the busy DuPont Circle area. San Francisco has used geo-fencing tools to “nudge” riders of Uber and Lyft to request pick-ups and drop-offs in designated zones to reduce congestion. Seattle has adopted proposed design guidelines that follow a flex zone framework. First, designate transit stops, transit lanes, and bikeways. Then, find spaces for bike share stations, commercial loading, perhaps geo-fenced areas for dockless vehicles. Then, fill in the blanks with parklets and pick-up and drop-off spaces for ridehailing and private vehicles. Finally, include an array of short-term car storage options via parking regulations.

Santa Monica is pursuing public private partnerships with the shared mobility companies. The city wants an “open and productive partnership,” recognizing that scooters and other dockless vehicles can help the city achieve sustainability goals and offer a highly desired option for shorter trips. Companies vying for a spot in Santa Monica’s 16-month pilot program for shared mobility devices are evaluated on seven criteria, including safety, operations requirements, and data sharing. Each of the seven categories has minimum and recommended benchmarks. Operators are encouraged to offer low-income and multilingual options, create a system that recognizes geo-fenced parking areas dedicated to decreasing vehicle clutter, and provide real-time fleet info to the city. After some debate, the city approved a dynamic model for capping scooters based on vehicle utilization; both Bird and Lime issued statements praising the new framework.

Other cities, looking to control traffic and fund the infrastructure used by these companies, have started to levy fees and rules on tech companies. A new fee Chicago officials added to Uber and Lyft rides will direct millions of dollars towards public transit investment, while designated drop-off spots are being tested in other cities to help avoid congestion.
As these new mobility companies invest in larger fleets—and make private car ownership less attractive—cities will find more and more financial reasons to take control of the curb. Cities need to prepare for the shift, and figure out how to price curb use to both control traffic, adequately maintain and enforce use of the public space, and make up for any lost parking revenue.

Many companies seem interested in working with cities toward these goals. Uber, Lyft, and many dockless bike companies signed a Livable Cities Pledge, promising to support the shared and efficient use of “vehicles, lanes, curbed, and land,” as well as push for open data and fair user fees. Bird promoted a Save Our Sidewalks pledge which suggests that these companies pay cities $1 per vehicle per day for infrastructure improvements A recent app redesign from Lyft seeks to promote shared rides, and the company’s new goal to have shared rides account for half of all trips on the platform by 2020.

**Will Little Vehicles Conquer the City?**

Call them Little Vehicles—not just bikes and scooters, but e-bikes, velomobiles, motorized skateboards, unicycles, “hoverboards,” and other small, battery-powered low-speed not-a-cars. Some futurists predict Little Vehicles (LVs) could significantly erode private car and ride-hail use, and play a key role in helping cities achieve their environmental and road safety goals.

SBCCOG and South Bay cities have been in the forefront of evaluating the vehicles and their potential for short trips with our NEV study, South Bay Slow Speed Network development, the current Bike Share Working Group and the June 28th SB Cities Bicycle + E-Mobility Expo.

Getting to mass adoption will require Little Vehicles for all seasons, for all sorts of trips, and for all types of people. Have a Go, which aspires to be the *Consumer Reports* of LVs, categorizes these electric powered vehicles into three categories based on size, ranging from unicycles and motorized skateboards, to folding bikes and scooters, to enclosed velomobiles and featherweight cars.

Just as electrification is important for air quality, LVs are important to urban mobility. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) estimates that 7,500 bikes can pass through a single 10-foot lane in an hour, compared to between 600 and 1,600 cars. (Presumably, even more scooters could fit through that lane.) And even on shared streets, when traffic piles up at an intersection, many Little Vehicles can filter their way to the front of the queue.

Despite the industry’s efforts to encourage more pooled rides, ride-hailing services have been shown to increase vehicle miles traveled (i.e., traffic) in major American cities. Adding self-driving to this mix likely won’t change the math much, and autonomous for-hire cars also won’t be able to solve the problem of moving a lot of people through dense cities. That’s the rationale behind Uber’s recent purchase of JUMP, the dockless electric bikeshare company.
This new focus for the former ride-hailing—now “mobility”—giants is in part a response to problems they helped create. In San Francisco, Sacramento, and Washington, D.C., where Uber users can access JUMP bikes directly from the Uber app, the company has seen people switching over and increasing their share of rides they're doing on JUMP versus Uber. What Uber, Lyft, and venture capital firms are really excited about is the potential for the Little Vehicle sector to have a similar growth trajectory as the ride-hailing industry.

Lime is finding that battery-boosted bikes and scooters are able to attract more riders per day than traditional bikes, but they also found that when scooters are introduced to a market, bike usage also increases. Additionally, a recent survey found that 75 percent of dockless bikeshare riders in Seattle used the service to access transit.

Adding protected bike lanes and designated Little Vehicle parking areas can be accomplished quickly and relatively cheaply, as infrastructure investment goes—if voters and city leaders are all on the same page. The well-capitalized Little Vehicle industry can also help pay for these changes, as evidenced by Bird’s Save Our Sidewalks pledge. Future city permits could offer expanded fleet sizes in exchange for infrastructure contributions. And congestion pricing strategies will make Little Vehicles more attractive to users and planners alike.