RESOLUTION NO. 2013-2

A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS SUPPORTING EXTENDED PRODUCER RESPONSIBILITY

WHEREAS, on February 8, 2006 California’s Universal Waste Rule (CCR, Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 23) became effective; and

WHEREAS, the Universal Waste Rule bans landfill disposal of certain products that are deemed hazardous, including household batteries, fluorescent bulbs and tubes, thermostats and other items that contain mercury, as well as electronic devices such as video cassette recorders, microwave ovens, cellular phones, cordless phones, printers, and radios; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that the list of Universal and other waste products determined to be hazardous and therefore banned from landfills will continue to grow as demonstrated by the ban of treated wood effective January 2007 and sharps in September 2008; and

WHEREAS, state policies currently make local governments responsible for achieving waste diversion goals and enforcing product disposal bans, both of which are unfunded mandates; and

WHEREAS, Universal Waste management costs are currently paid by taxpayers and rate payers and are expected to increase substantially in the short term unless policy changes are made; and

WHEREAS, local governments do not have the resources to adequately address the rising volume of discarded products; and

WHEREAS, costs paid by local governments to manage products are in effect subsidies to the producers of hazardous products and products designed for disposal; and

WHEREAS, the Board of South Bay Cities Council of Governments supports statewide efforts to have producers share in the responsibility for Universal Waste products and other product waste management costs; and

WHEREAS, there are significant environmental and human health impacts associated with improper management of Universal Waste, sharps, pharmaceuticals, and other products; and

WHEREAS, Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is a policy approach in which producers assume financial responsibility for the end-of-life management of their products and which has been shown to be effective at increasing recovery and reducing costs of recovery systems; and

WHEREAS, when products are reused or recycled responsibly, and when health and environmental costs are included in the product price, there is an incentive to design products that are more durable, easier to repair and recycle, and less toxic; and
WHEREAS, EPR framework legislation establishes transparent and fair principles and procedures for applying EPR to categories of products for which improved design and management infrastructure is in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, the California Product Stewardship Council (CPSC) is an organization of California local governments and businesses working to speak with one voice in promoting transparent and fair EPR systems in California; and

WHEREAS, in January 2008 CalRecycle, adopted a Framework for an EPR System in California; and

WHEREAS, in July 2008 the National Association of Counties adopted a resolution in support of a framework approach to EPR, November 2009 the National League of Cities adopted EPR policy, and June 2010 the US Conference of Mayors adopted a resolution in support of EPR; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of the South Bay Cities Council of Governments urges CalRecycle and the California Legislature to continue taking timely action to implement the Framework for an EPR System in California to manage problematic products, and to urge the Department of Toxic Substances Control to implement the Green Chemistry initiative to manage Universal and other toxic products; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of South Bay Cities Council of Governments urges the California Legislature to enact product specific and framework EPR legislation which will give producers the incentive to design products to make them less toxic and easier to reuse and recycle; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the South Bay Cities Council of Governments encourages all manufacturers to share in the responsibility for eliminating waste through minimizing excess packaging, designing products for durability, reusability and the ability to be recycled; using recycled materials in the manufacture of new products; and providing financial support for collection, processing, recycling, or disposal of used materials; and communicating with haulers and local governments about end of life management; and

PASSED, APPROVED, and ADOPTED this 23rd of May, 2013.

Ralph L. Franklin, SBCCOG Chair

ATTEST:

Marcy Hiratzka, Board Secretary