

ITEM #VIII.B.1.a.

Adapted from Info From Sec of State's Website – August 3, 2006

Propositions that are on the November 7, 2006 General Election Ballot

1. **Proposition 1A-Transportation Investment Fund**

(SCA 7 (Resolution Chapter 49, 2006). Torlakson.)

Supporters: US Senator Dianne Feinstein; Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, League of CA Cities; Chief Michael Warren, President, California Fire Chiefs Association; Sheriff Robert T. Doyle, President, California State Sheriffs' Association; Senator Tom Torlakson, Chair, Senate Committee on Local Government; Lou Paulson, President, California Professional Firefighters; Cam Sanchez, President, California Police Chiefs Association; CA Chambers of Commerce; CA Teachers' Association; Sacramento Habitat for Humanity; Automobile Club of California; Operating Engineers Local 3

Opponents: Carole Migden, Chair, State Board of Equalization

2. **Proposition 1B-Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, Port Security Bond Act of 2006**

(SB 1266 (Chapter 25, 2006). Perata.)

Supporters: California Transportation Commission; California Air Resources Board; CA Chamber of Commerce; CA Teachers Association; Sacramento Habitat for Humanity; CA Taxpayers Assoc; Orange County Business Council; Operating Engineers Local 3

Opponents: Assemblyman Michael Villenes, 29th District

3. **Proposition 1C-Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006** (SB 1689 (Chapter 27, 2006). Perata.)

Supporters: CA Teachers Assoc; Sacramento Habitat for Humanity; San Diego Habitat for Humanity; Congress of CA Seniors; Orange County Business Council; Operating Engineers Local 3; CA Partnership to End Domestic Violence; Tom Porter, State Director, AARP

Opponents: CA Taxpayer's Association; Assemblyman Chuck DeVore; Bill Leonard, Member, CA State Board of Equalization; Mike Spence, President, CA Taxpayer Protection Committee

4. **Proposition 1D-Education Facilities: Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006** (AB 127 (Chapter 35, 2006). Núñez.)

Supporters: CA Teachers Assoc; CA Taxpayers Association; CA Community College Board of Governors; Coalition for Adequate School Housing; Sacramento Habitat for Humanity; CA Taxpayers Assoc; Operating Engineers Local 3, Santa Rita School District, Alhambra School District

Opponents: Tom Hudson, Executive Director, CA Taxpayer Protection Committee

5. **Proposition 1E-Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006** (AB 140 (Chapter 33, 2006). Núñez.)

Supporters: Santa Clara Valley Water District; Henry Renteria, Director, California Office of Emergency Services; Michael L. Warren, President, California Fire Chiefs Association; Linda Adams, Secretary, California Environmental Protection Agency

Opponents: Tom Hudson, Executive Director, CA Taxpayer Protection Committee

6. **Proposition 83- Sex Offenders. Sexually Violent Predators. Punishment, Residence Restrictions and Monitoring. Initiative Statute.** *Proponent: Richard Gann, George Runner and Sharon Runner, c/o Dave Gilliard (916) 444-1502*

Increases penalties for violent and habitual sex offenders and child molesters. Prohibits registered sex offenders from residing within 2,000 feet of any school or park, and requires lifetime Global Positioning System monitoring of felony registered sex offenders. Expands the definition of a sexually violent predator, and changes the current two-year involuntary civil commitment for a sexually violent predator to an indeterminate commitment, subject to annual review by the Director of Mental Health and petition by the sexually violent predator for conditional release or unconditional discharge. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Unknown net costs to the state, within a few years, potentially in the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually due primarily to increased state prison, parole supervision, and mental health program costs. These costs would grow significantly in the long term. Potential one-time state capital outlay costs, within a few years, in the low hundreds of millions of dollars for construction of additional state mental hospital and prison beds. Unknown but potentially significant net operating costs or savings to counties for jail, probation supervision, district attorneys, and public defenders. The portion of costs related to changes in the Sexual Violent Predators program would be reimbursed by the state.

Supporters: Gov Arnold Schwarzenegger; California District Attorneys Association; California Deputy District Attorneys Association; California Sexual Assault Investigators; California State Sheriffs Association; California Police Chiefs Association; California Organization of Police and Sheriffs (COPS); California Women’s Federation; California Republican Party; Crime Victim’s United; Mothers Against Predators; Western Riverside Council of Governments; County of San Diego; City of Garden Grove; City of Lancaster; City of Oceanside; City of Pasadena; City of San Clemente; City of San Jacinto; Town of Apple Valley

Opponents: CA Attorney’s for Criminal Justice

7. **Proposition 84- Water Quality, Safety and Supply. Flood Control. Natural Resource Protection. Park Improvements. Bonds. Initiative Statute.**

Proponent: Stephen J. Kaufman (213) 452-6565

Authorizes \$5,388,000,000 in general obligation bonds, payable from the state’s General Fund, to fund projects relating to safe drinking water, water quality and supply, flood control, waterway and natural resource protection, water pollution and contamination control, state and local park improvements, public access to natural resources, and conservation efforts. Provides funding for emergency drinking water, and exempts such expenditures from public contract and procurement requirements to ensure immediate action for public safety. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: State cost of about \$10.5 billion over 30 years to pay off both the principal (\$5.4 billion) and interest (\$5.1 billion) costs on the bonds. Payments of about \$350 million per year. Reduction in local property tax revenues of several million dollars annually, about one-half of which would be offset by state payments to schools to make up their revenue loss. Unknown costs, potentially tens of millions of dollars per year, to state and local governments to operate or maintain properties or projects acquired or developed with these bond funds. (SA2005RF0131.)

Supporters: The Nature Conservancy, Association of California Water Agencies; Calleguas Municipal Water District; Castroville Water District; Coachella Valley Water District; Contra Costa Water District; Crescenta Valley Water District; Cucamonga Valley Water District; East Bay Municipal Utility District; Eastern Municipal Water District; El Dorado Irrigation District; Inland Empire Utilities Agency; Kern County Water Agency; Las Virgenes Municipal Water District; Long Beach Water Department; Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers Watershed Council; Marin Municipal Water District; Mendocino County Water Agency; Metropolitan Water District of Southern California; San Diego County Water Authority; Santa Clara Valley Water District; Sonoma County Water Agency; Valley of the Moon Water District; Water Replenishment District of Southern California; Yuba County Water District

Opponents: Bill Leonard, Member, CA State Board of Equalization; Ron Nehring, Sr. Consultant, Americans for Tax Reform; Lewis Uhler, National Tax Limitation Committee

8. **Proposition 85-Waiting Period and Parental Notification Before Termination of Minor's Pregnancy. Initiative Constitutional Amendment.**

Proponent: Paul E. Laubacher, R.N. (916) 381-7094

Amends California Constitution to prohibit abortion for unemancipated minor until 48 hours after physician notifies minor's parent or legal guardian, except in medical emergency or with parental waiver. Permits minor to obtain court order waiving notice based on clear and convincing evidence of minor's maturity or best interests. Mandates various reporting requirements, including reports from physicians regarding abortions performed on minors. Authorizes monetary damages against physicians for violation. Requires minor's consent to abortion, with certain exceptions. Permits judicial relief if minor's consent coerced. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Potential unknown net state costs of several million dollars annually for health and social services programs, court administration, and state health agency administration combined. (SA2005RF0132, Amdt. #1-S)

Supporters: William Clark, CA Supreme Court Justice (retired); Mary Davenport, Fellow of the American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists; Prof. Joseph Zanga, Past President of the American Academy of Pediatrics

Opponents: Donna Chips, Exec Vice President, League of Women Voters of CA; Bo Greaves, President, CA Academy of Family Physicians; Jeanne Conry, Vice Chair, American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists

9. **Proposition 86-Tax on Cigarettes. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.**

Proponent: Paul Knepprath (916) 444-8801

Imposes additional 13 cent tax on each cigarette distributed (\$2.60 per pack), and indirectly increases tax on other tobacco products. Provides funding to qualified hospitals for emergency services, nursing education and health insurance to eligible children. Revenue also allocated to specified purposes including tobacco use prevention programs, enforcement of tobacco-related laws, and research, prevention and treatment of various conditions including cancers (breast, cervical, prostate and colorectal), heart disease, stroke, asthma and obesity. Exempts recipient hospitals from antitrust laws in certain circumstances. Revenue excluded from appropriation limits and Proposition 98 calculations. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Increase in new state tobacco tax revenues of about \$2.1 billion annually by 2007-08, declining slightly annually thereafter. Those revenues would be used for various health and tobacco-related programs and for children's health coverage. Unknown net state costs potentially reaching the low hundreds of millions annually after a few years due to provisions for streamlining enrollment in the Medi-Cal and HFP. Unknown but potentially significant savings to counties on a statewide basis beginning in the near term for a shift of children from county health coverage to HFP, with unknown but potentially significant costs to the state in the long term for ongoing support of expanded HFP enrollment. Unknown but potentially significant savings in state and local government public health care costs over time due to expected reduction in consumption of tobacco products and due to other factors. (SA2005RF0139, Amdt. #1-NS.)

Supporters: American Cancer Society, American Heart Association; American Lung Association, American Academy of Pediatrics/California Chapter, The Children's Partnership, American College of Emergency Physicians, California Chapter; CA Emergency Nurses Association; California Medical Association; Alameda Health Consortium; Alliance Medical Center; Alliance of Catholic Health Care; American Academy of Pediatrics, California District; Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum; Assemblymember Paul Koretz; California Association for Nurse Practitioners; Congresswoman Zoe Lofgren; County of Riverside; County of Yolo; League of California Cities; League of Women Voters of California; Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce; and numerous other groups

Opponents: Jaime Rojas, President, CA Hispanic Chambers of Commerce

10. Proposition 87- Alternative Energy. Research, Production, Incentives. Tax on California Oil. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

Proponent: James C. Harrison and Thomas A. Willis c/o Remcho, Johansen & Purcell (510) 346-6200

Establishes \$4 billion program to reduce oil and gasoline usage by 25%, with research and production incentives for alternative energy, alternative energy vehicles, energy efficient technologies, and for education and training. Funded by tax of 1.5% to 6%, depending on oil price per barrel, on producers of oil extracted in California. Prohibits producers from passing tax on to consumers. Program administered by California Energy Alternatives Program Authority. Prohibits changing tax while indebtedness remains. Revenues excluded from Proposition 98 calculations and appropriation limits. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: New state revenues annually – depending on the interpretation of the measure’s tax rate provisions – of either about \$200 million or about \$380 million from the imposition of a severance tax on oil production, to be used to fund a variety of new alternative energy programs. Reductions of unknown amounts in: local revenues from property taxes paid on oil reserves, potentially partially offset by state payments to schools to make up their revenue loss; state revenues from income taxes paid by oil producers; and, potentially, state and local revenues from gasoline and diesel excise and sales taxes. (SA2005RF138, Amdt. #2-S.)

Supporters: (Businesses) American Biodiesel, Inc.; Amerigy; Better Energy Systems; Biodiesel America; Buel Solar; Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies (CEERT); Clean Fuel Connection; Codding Enterprises; Community Environmental Services; Community Fuels; Desal Response Group; Environmental Entrepreneurs (E2); FlexCar; GAIA Tours Go Solar LA Biofuel, Inc. Peloton Energy, LLC; Permacity Solar; Planetary Kidz; Real Earth Inc.; The Douris Corporation; Thistle UsedCardboardBoxes.com; (Consumer Groups); Consumer Action; Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights; Public Citizen's Energy Program; Utility Consumers Action Network; (Environmental Groups); Ballona Wetlands Land Trust; California Coastkeeper Alliance; California Labor / Environmental Solar Energy Project; California League of Conservation Voters; Californians Against Waste; Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies (CEERT); Center for Natural Lands Management; Citizens for a Quality Environment; Community Environmental Council; Earth Day LA; Earth Day Network; Earth Resource Foundation; Eastern Sierra Land Trust; Education for Sustainable Living; Endangered Habitats League; Environment California; Environmental Center of San Luis Obispo; County Environmental Defense; Global Green; Global Possibilities; Los Angeles Conservation Corps; Malibu Foundation for Environmental Education; Mono Lake Committee; National Wildlife Federation; Natural Resources Defense Council; People for Parks Planning and Conservation League; Rainforest Action Network; San Diego CoastKeeper; Santa Monica BayKeeper; Sierra Club, California; Southern California Watershed Alliance; Urban Corps of San Diego; Wild Heritage Planners; Windows on our Waters; (Local Government Groups); Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments; Davis City Council; San Francisco County Board of Supervisors; Santa Monica City Council; Yolo County Board of Supervisors; (Public Health Groups); American Lung Association of California; California Conference of Directors of Environmental Health; Healthy African American Families; II Physicians for Social Responsibility; Orange County Society of Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology; Southern California Public Health Association

Opponents: Alameda County Taxpayers Association; Butte County Taxpayers Association; Butte County Citizens for Better Government; California Taxpayer Protection Committee California Taxpayers' Association Central Solano Citizen/Taxpayer Group Contra Costa Taxpayers Association Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association; Kern County Taxpayers Association Marin United Taxpayers Association; National Tax Limitation Committee; National Taxpayers Union; Orange County Taxpayers Association; Sacramento County Taxpayers League; San Diego Tax Fighters; Santa Barbara County Taxpayers Association; Sonoma County Taxpayers' Association; TaxpayersAdvocate.org; United Californians for Tax Reform; Valley Taxpayer's Coalition, Inc.; Ventura County Taxpayers Association; Waste Watchers, Inc.; Yolo County Taxpayers Association; Air Transport Association of America, Inc.; Association of Energy Service Companies (California Chapter); Automobile Club of Southern California; Black Business Association; California Autobody Association; California Black Chamber of Commerce; California Business Properties Association; California Business Roundtable; California Chamber of Commerce; California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; California Furniture Manufacturer's Association' California Grocers Association; California Independent Grocers & Convenience Stores; California Independent Oil Marketers Association; California Independent Petroleum Association; California Manufacturers & Technology Association; California Restaurant Association; California Retailers Association; California Short Line Railroad Association; California Small Business Alliance; California Space Authority; California State Firefighters' Association; California Trucking Association; CELSOC (Consulting Engineers & Land Surveyors of CA); Chemical Industry Council of California; Clean Air Performance Professionals; Consumers Coalition of California; Consumers First; Council of Asian Business Associations; Independent Oil Producers' Agency; Industrial Environmental Association; Korean Dry Cleaners & Laundry Association; National Association of Manufacturers; Mabuhay Alliance; Peace Officers Research Association of California; Printing Industries of California; Small Business Action Committee; The Seniors Coalition; U.S. Chamber of Commerce; Western Electrical Contractors Association; Western States Petroleum Association; African American Chamber of Commerce Palm Springs; Alameda Merchants Association; American Association of Blacks in Energy -- Southern California Chapter; American Canyon Chamber of Commerce; Apple Valley Chamber of Commerce; Asian Service Organization; Azusa Chamber of Commerce; Bethel M.B. Church; Black Economic Council; Camara

de Comercio Agrupacion de Comerciates de San Jose; Carson Black Chamber of Commerce; Central California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Central Valley Asian American Chamber; Chamber of Commerce Mountain View; Clovis Chamber of Commerce; Coalition of Labor, Agriculture and Business of Santa Barbara County (COLAB); Corona Chamber of Commerce; County of Santa Barbara Industrial Association; Crenshaw Chamber of Commerce; El Monte/South El Monte Chamber of Commerce; Filipino American Chamber of San Joaquin; Fresno Area Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Fullerton Chamber of Commerce; Goleta Valley Chamber of Commerce; Greater Bakersfield Chamber of Commerce; Greater Corona Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Greater Fresno Area Chamber of Commerce; Greater Los Angeles African American Chamber of Commerce; Greater Merced Chamber of Commerce; Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce; Hispanic Chamber of Commerce – Alameda County; Hispanic Chamber of Commerce – Contra Costa County; Hispanic Chamber of Commerce – Silicon Valley; Inland Empire African American Chamber of Commerce; Irwindale Chamber of Commerce; Kern County Black Chamber of Commerce ; Kern County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Kern Law Enforcement Association; Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce; Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce; Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce; Los Angeles Metro Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Lynwood Latino Coalition; MADIC – Making A Difference in The Community; Milpitas Chamber of Commerce; Murrieta Chamber of Commerce; Napa County Latin Business Association; Ontario Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Orange Chamber of Commerce & Visitor Bureau ; Oxnard Chamber of Commerce; Palm Desert Chamber of Commerce; Rancho Cordova Chamber of Commerce; Raul Murillo Hermandad Mexicana Nacional -- Los Angeles Chapter; Regional Black Chamber of Commerce (San Fernando Valley); Regional Hispanic Chamber of Commerce (Long Beach); Regional Legislative Alliance of Ventura & Santa Barbara Counties; Ridgecrest Chamber of Commerce; Saint John Missionary Baptist Association; San Diego County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; San Jose Silicon Valley Chamber of Commerce; San Mateo County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Santa Clara Chamber of Commerce; SAMCEDA (San Mateo County Economic Development Association); Sonoma County Black Chamber of Commerce; South Bay Latino Chamber of Commerce; South Orange County Regional Chambers of Commerce; Southwest California Legislative Council (chamber coalition in Riverside County); Temecula Chamber of Commerce; Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA); Ventura Chamber of Commerce; Ventura County Economic Development Association; Victor Valley African American Chamber of Commerce; Visalia Chamber of Commerce; Butte County Board of Supervisors; City of Bakersfield; Colusa County Board of Supervisors; Inyo County Board of Supervisors; Kern County Board of Education; Kern County Board of Supervisors; Merced County Board of Supervisors; Scotia Union School District

11. Proposition 88-Education Funding. Real Property Parcel Tax. Initiative Constitutional Amendment and Statute.

Proponent: John D. Adkisson c/o Joan L. Cassman and Steven D. Miller (415) 777-3200

Provides additional public school funding for kindergarten through grade 12 by imposing a \$50 tax on each real property parcel; exempts certain elderly and disabled homeowners. Funds must be used for class size reduction, textbooks, school safety, Academic Success facility grants, and a data system to evaluate educational program effectiveness. Provides for reimbursement to government entities to offset anticipated decrease in other tax revenue. Prohibits fund use for school administrative overhead. Requires school district audits and penalties for fund misuse. Excludes funds from Proposition 98 calculations. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Annual revenue of up to \$500 million from a new, statewide parcel tax with the revenue dedicated to specific K-12 education programs (such as class size reduction, instructional materials, school safety, and facility grants). (SA2005RF0126).

Supporters: Reed Hastings, Past President, CA Board of Education; Jack O’Connell, CA State Superintendent of Public Instruction

Opponents: CA Taxpayers Association, CA Parent Teachers Association

12. Proposition 89-Political Campaigns. Public Financing. Corporate Tax Increase. Contribution and Expenditure Limits. Initiative Statute.

Proponent: Deborah Burger c/o Michael Lighty (510) 273-2200

Provides that candidates for state elective office meeting certain eligibility requirements, including collection of a specified number of \$5.00 contributions from voters, may voluntarily receive public campaign funding from the Fair Political Practices Commission, in amounts varying by elective office and type of election. Increases income tax rate on corporations and financial institutions by 0.2 percent to fund program. Imposes new limits on campaign contributions to state-office candidates and campaign committees, and new restrictions on contributions and expenditures by lobbyists and corporations. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Increased revenues (primarily from increased taxes on corporations and financial institutions) totaling more than \$200 million annually to pay for the public financing of political campaigns for state elected offices. (SA2006RF0015.)

Supporters: CA Nurses Association; Harvey Rosenfeld, Founder, Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights

Opponents: CA Taxpayers Association, CA Small Business Roundtable; James Hall, Former Chair, CA FPPC

13. Proposition 90- Government Acquisition, Regulation of Private Property. Initiative Constitutional Amendment. *Proponent: Anita S. Anderson*

Bars state and local governments from condemning or damaging private property to promote other private projects, uses. Limits government's authority to adopt certain land use, housing, consumer, environmental and workplace laws and regulations, except when necessary to preserve public health or safety. Voids unpublished eminent domain court decisions. Defines "just compensation." Government must occupy condemned property or lease property for public use. Condemned private property must be offered for resale to prior owner or owner's heir at current fair market value if government abandons condemnation's objective. Exempts certain governmental actions. Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local governments: Unknown, but potentially significant major future costs for state and local governments to pay damages and/or modify regulatory or other policies to conform to the measure's provisions. Unknown, potentially major changes in governmental costs to acquire property for public purposes. (SA2005RF0146.)

Supporters: Mimi Walters, Honorary Chair, CA Protect Our Homes Coalition; Martin B. Hopper, CA Director, National Federation of Independent Business; John Revelli, Eminent Domain Abuse Victim

Opponents: League of California Cities; California State Association of Counties; California Redevelopment Association; California Special Districts Association; American Planning Association, California Chapter; California Contract Cities Association; Association of California Water Agencies; Orange County Water District; California Police Chiefs Association; California Fire Chiefs Association; California School Boards Association; Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.); Small School Districts' Association; California Labor Federation, AFL-CIO; California State Council of Laborers; California Small Business Association; California Association for Local Economic Development; Hollywood Chamber of Commerce; Downtown San Diego Partnership; Transportation and Land Use Coalition; Los Angeles Metropolitan Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; American Farmland Trust; League of California Homeowners; California Housing Consortium; California Housing Partnership Corporation; Golden State Manufactured-Home Owners League; California Coalition for Rural Housing; Orange County Community Housing Corporation; Coalition of Mobilehome Owners – California; Resident Owned Parks, Inc.; San Francisco Tenants Union; Oakland Tenants Union; Santa Monica for Renters' Rights; League of Women Voters of California; Consumers First; Public Advocates, Inc.; Center on Policy Initiatives; Coalition for Economic Survival; Santa Monica Coalition for a Livable City; The Nature Conservancy; California League of Conservation Voters; Audubon California; The Ocean Conservancy; National Wildlife Federation; Natural Resources Defense Council; Sierra Club California; Center for Environmental Health; California State Parks Foundation; Defenders of Wildlife; Environmental Defense; California Oak Foundation; Planning and Conservation League; Greenbelt Alliance; Endangered Habitats League; California Council of Land Trusts; Sierra-Cascade Land Trust Council; Bay Area Alliance for Sustainable Communities

